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Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee (CSMC) under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Urban) - Housing for All Mission held on 27 April 2018

The $33^{\text {rd }}$ meeting of the Central Sanctioning and Monitoring Committee (CSMC) under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Urban) [PMAY(U)] was held on 27 April 2018 at 11.30 a.m. in the Conference Room, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi, with Secretary, Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs in chair. The list of participants is at Annexure-I.
2. At the outset, the Secrètary, Ministry of Housing \& Urban Affairs (MoHUA) welcomed the participants/representatives from the State Governments, participants/officers of the Ministry and other Departments. He reiterated that while considering the project proposals submitted by the States/UTs under PMAY(U), the CSMC reviews the progress of the States and gives directions for proper and rapid implementation/execution of the projects.
2.1. Accordingly, the following Decisions/Observations for compliance by all State/UT Governments were emphasised:
i. State Governments may prepare short films, printed literature/documents on projects undertaken under PMAY ( U ) to showcase how lives of beneficiaries have transformed. It should be widely circulated both in print and electronic media and also in various social media platforms for generating awareness.
ii. State/UT Governments may offer incentives through additional FAR for rehabilitation of slums in private land through ISSR. Increase of FAR upto $50 \%$ can be considered which will incentivise developers to undertake construction work on privately owned land for meeting the "Housing for All" goal.
iii. State/UT Governments may consider providing monetary incentive to the beneficiaries who complete construction work early under BLC ( N ) and BLC ( E ) components as is being done by the Government of Odisha. This will help in early completion of houses.
iv. $100 \%$, Aadhaar seeding of beneficiaries is a must for all projects under PMAY (U). All States/UTs are to ensure that beneficiary details entered in the PMAY (U) MIS are Aadhaar based and name of the beneficiary as in Aadhaar is entered to avoid any mismatch at a later stage.
v. States/UTs need to expedite grounding of approved projects so that they are completed within the timeline.
vi. State/UT Governments should exempt sanctioning of building plan on plot size less than 100 sq. metre. States/UTs may also prepare and circulate standard building plan designs for a plot size upto 100 sq. metre.
vii. States/UTs should ensure that the PMAY (U) logo is displayed in all housing units constructed under the Mission.
viii. The State/UT Governments should consider ISSR component of PMAY (U) for rehabilitation of slums since it requires lesser investment as land is used as a resource which is readily available with the State/UT/ULBs.
ix. State/UT Governments are advised to ensure that:
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- Adequate infrastructure is provided including individual water, sanitation and electricity connections.
- There should be no duplication/change in the identified beneficiaries.
- Demarcation of land and mutation is carried out before implementation.
- The design and construction of houses is disaster resilient and the specifications conform to IS/ NBC norms.
x. With regard to the vacant houses, if any, under JnNURM, the State Governments/UTs should look for realistic, pragmatic options so as to allot these houses to eligible beneficiaries in urban areas without any further delay.
xi. States/UTs should implement innovative, cost effective and high quality construction technologies for constructing the housing units under PMAY (U). The tender documents should preferably be technology neutral and a clause of quality assurance for the potential bidders should be included.
xii. State/UTs must expeditiously complete demand survey so that the target of the Mission Housing for All by 2022 is achieved in time. $100 \%$ of the total housing demand should be approved in the FY 2018-19 so that there is ample time for completion of projects within the Mission period.
xiii. States/UTs may prefer arranging rent to the beneficiaries during the interim period of construction of houses under ISSR projects for its speedy completion.
xiv. The States/UTs shall furnish regular update on the above issues to the HFA Mission Directorate, MoHUA.
xv . The States/UTs should submit project proposals for consideration of CSMC by $15^{\text {th }}$ of each month so that site scrutiny/desk scrutiny in respect of identified DPRs can be done before the CSMC holds meeting.
xvi While submitting project proposal for the city, the HFAPoA should have been submitted. If not ,suitable reason should be given.

3. Joint Secretary and Mission Director (HFA) introduced the agenda for the meeting. The - agenda iterns also form part of the minutes. The item-wise minutes are recorded as follows:

Confirmation of the minutes of the $32^{\text {od }}$ CSMC meeting under PMAY (U) held on 26 March 2018 (Agenda 1)

The minutes of the $32^{\text {nd }}$ CSMC meeting under PMAY (U) held on 26 March 2018 were confirmed without any amendments.

2 | Consideration for Central Assistance for 03 AHP, 03 BLC (New) and 03 BLC |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Enhancement) projects submitted by the State of Haryana (Agenda 2) |

## A. Basic Information:

The proposal under consideration of CSMC was for Central Assistance for 03 AHP, 03 BLC (New) and 03 BLC (Enhancement) projects submitted by the State of Haryana. The salient details of the proposal are as under
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| Component | No. of Projects (cities) | Total No. of houses proposed | No. of EWS houses proposed | Central Assistance Rs. lakh) | State and <br> ULB <br> inshare <br> (Rs. in <br> lakh) | Beneficiary contribution (Rs. in lakh) | Date <br> Appraisal SLAC <br> approval SLSMC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHP | 03(3) | 8430 | 3430 | 12645.00 | 8430 | 87756.30 |  |
| BLC (N) | 03 (3) | 1008 | 1008 | 151200 | 1008 | 1380.96 | 25.04.2018/ |
| BLC <br> (Enhancement) | 03 (3) | 135 | 135 | 20250 | 0.00 | 14253 | 26.04.2018 |
| Total | * | 9,573 | 9,573 | $14,359.50{ }^{*}$ | -9,438 | 89,279.79 |  |

## B. Additional information given by the State:

| i. | Demand survey is complete in all the 80 towns and a total of 3.61 lakh applications <br> received including CLSS. |
| :---: | :--- |
| ii. | 80 HFAPoAs have already been submitted to Mission Directorate. |
| iii. | The Town and Country Planning Department of Haryana received 67 applications <br> /bids for seeking licence for construction of houses for PMAY(U) beneficiaries in 04 <br> towns under AHP component. Housing Board, Haryana (HBH) offered 7918 flats in 20 <br> towns for PMAY (U) beneficiaries. Further, HBH identified sites/land in 08 towns for <br> construction of 7869 EWS flats. |
| iv. | JNNURM: Out of 13223 houses, 10,009 have been completed and allotted. 318 houses <br> are in progress which will be allotted on completion. |
| v.RAY: Out of 3226 houses, 804 houses are completed and allotted whereas 1091 in <br> progress. |  |
| vi. | Camps for motivating CISS beneficiaries in 75 towns were organized. |
| vii. | Exercise for geo-tagging for 58 towns has been initiated. |

## C. CSMC observations:

| i.Only $67 \%$ of the total demand of 3.61 lakh houses has been sanctioned. State to initiate <br> necessary action to expedite submission of proposals in order to fulfil the balance <br> demand. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ii.Out of $2,11,392$ houses sanctioned., only 733 are grounded. State to take necessary <br> measures to ground the remaining houses. |
| iii.Out of 53,526 houses sanctioned under BLC vertical, only 735 have been geo-tagged. <br> State to complete geo-tagging of the remaining houses expeditiously. |
| iv.CLSS: State Government should make concerted efforts in Gurgaon, Panchkula and <br> Faridabad and may organise camps so that more and more beneficiaries take interest <br> subsidy under PMAY (U). |
| v.Utilization Certificates for Rs. 0.70 crore in PMAY (U), Rs 73.20 crore under JNNRUM <br> and Rs 37.31 crore under RAY are pending. State should expedite submission of <br> pending UCs immediately. |
| vi. The funds for AHP projects shall be released on confirmation by State Government that |
| the developer has been selected and land has been identified for the projects. |
| vii.JNNURM: The State should expedite allotment of un-occupied houses to the eligible <br> beneficiaries immediately. |

## D. Decision by CSMC

In view of the above, the CSMC approved Central Assistance and recommended for release of first instalment of the Central Assistance for the State of Haryana as under:

| Component | No. of Projects | No. of EWS houses | Central Assistance (Rs. in lakh) | $1^{14}$ instalment (40 <br> $\%$ ) of Central Assistance <br> (Rs. in laldh) | Condition(s), if any, for release of $1^{\text {" }}$ instalment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHP | 03 | 3430 | 12645 | 5058.00 | On receipt of compliance as per para 2C (vii) \& 2 C (viii) |
| BLC (New) | 03 | 1008 | 1512 | 604.80 | (1) On receipt of compliance as per para 2C (vi) \& 2C(viii) <br> (ii) Aadhaar seeded beneficiary cntries in MIS of PMAY (U). |
| $\overline{B L C}$ <br> (Enhancement) | 03 | 135 | 202.50 | 81.00 | (1) On receipt of compliance as per para 2 C (vi) \& 2 C (viii); and (ii)Aadhaar seeded beneficiary entries in MIS of PMAY (U). |
|  | Total | 9573 | 14359.5 | 5743.80 |  |

Project-wise details are given at Annexure II A, II B and II C.

3
Revision of the projects cost for the BLC (E) projects approved in the $28^{\omega}, 30^{\text {d }}$, $31^{\text {s }}$ and $32^{\text {nd }}$ CSMC meetings submitted by the State of Haryana (Agenda 3)

## A. Basic Information:

The Committec was apprised that earlier project proposals of Government of Haryana for BLC ( E ) were considered and approved in the $28^{\text {h }}, 30^{\text {th }}, 31^{1 \text { II }}$ and $32^{\text {nd }}$ CSMC meetings with different types of enhancement with an average estimated cost of Rs. 2.44 lakh for all the existing houses. The CSMC had observed that the State should consider proposals on a case to case basis based on field verification of existing housing units and work out the exact cost and corresponding Central and State contribution required for enhancement of the proposed units. The release of 1 "instalment of Central Assistance for these BLC (E) projects was conditional subject to receipt of confirmation that State has re-examined the proposals on case to case basis and necessary corrective action has been taken and incorporated in the DPRs under BLC (E) vertical.

The State has informed that all BLC (E) project proposals approved in the above cited CSMC meetings have been re-examined on case to case basis and has now submitted the proposal for revision of project costs of DPRs under BLC (E) vertical based on 7 different models of enhancement and the cost ranges between Rs. 1.83 lakh to Rs. 2.95 lakh per house. The revision of project costs has duly been approved by SL.AC and SLSMC in their meetings held on 25.4 .2018 and 26.4 .2018 respectively. There is no change in the approved Central Assistance under these projects.

## B. CSMC Decisions:

The Committee took note of the revision of cost of the BLC(E) of Haryana projects as approved in $28^{\text {th }}, 30^{\text {th }}, 31^{\text {ts }}$ and $32^{\text {nd }}$ CSMC meetings and approved the revised costs in the projects. There is, however, no change in Central Assistance in respect of these BLC (E) projects as approved in $28^{\text {di }}, 30^{\text {di }}, 31^{\text {sf }}$ and $32^{\text {nd }}$ CSMC meetings.

In view of above, the first instalment of Central Assistance for these BLC (E) projects can be released based on Aadhaar-seeded beneficiary entered in PMAY-MIS. (Enhancement) projects submitted by the State of Nagaland (Agenda 4)

## A. Basic Information:

The proposal under consideration of CSMC was for Central Assistance for 09 BLC (New), and 09 BLC (Enhancement) projects submitted by the State of Nagaland. The salient details of the proposal are as under:

| Component | No. of <br> Projects <br> (cities) | Total No. <br> of houses <br> proposed | No. of <br> EWV <br> houses <br> proposed | Central <br> Assistance <br> (Rs. in <br> lakh) | state <br> share | ULB <br> share | Beneficiary <br> contribution <br> (Rs. in lakh) | Date of <br> Appraisal by <br> SLAC <br> approval of |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SLSMC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

B. Additional information given by the State:

| i. | HFAPoA for 9 towns have been submitted to the Ministry. Similarly, Capacity Building <br> Plan 2018-19 has also been submitted. |
| :---: | :--- |
| ii. | Total 32 towns of the State are included under PMAY Scheme. Projects in 5 towns are <br> under progress and project proposals for 9 towns have now been submitted. |
| iii. | Ekra walls, lighter than brick, is being provided and is safe against earthquake. |
| iv. | Local materials like bamboo and wood which are easily available are being used. The <br> cost of locally available construction material is less than that of brick walls. |
| v. | The existing Municipal Finance Specialist under SLTC has been proposed to be <br> replaced by IEC Specialist and approval by SLSMC has been taken for this. |
| vi. | To sensitize PMAY (U) scheme to the beneficiaries, workshops have been conducted in <br> different towns. |
| vii. | JNNURM and RAY: 1444 houses under JNNURM and 455 houses under RAY are <br> unoccupied and will be allotted soon to the beneficiaries. |

## C. CSMC observations:

| i. | The progress of construction of sanctioned houses is very poor. State Govemment to <br> expedite the construction work. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ii.There is no State/ULB share in the projects proposed. State Government may consider <br> contributing its share to reduce burden on the beneficiaries in BLC projects. |  |
| iii.Convergence with other Central/State Government schemes like Swachch Bharat <br> Mission etc, may be explored. |  |
| iv. | BMTPC may prepare a leaflet/literature in local language on disaster resilient <br> construction techniques for adoption by the beneficiaries. |
| v. | CISS: The State Govemment may like to consider adoption of model of Madhya <br> Pradesh Government wherein tripartite agreement between beneficiary, bank and <br> ULB/State has been made for sanctioning loan by the Banks towards beneficiary share. |
| vi $\quad$Tender is to be floated for appointment of agency for TPQMA. On the request <br> of the State whether IIT Guwahati / NIT in Nagaland may be considered for Quality <br> Monitoring, it was clarified that State Govenment is free to appoint any TPQMA <br> provided the same is selected through open bidding process. The quality of construction <br> needs to be very closely monitored. |  |
| vii.CLSS: Representatives from HUDCO may visit Nagaland to sort out issues with local <br> Nationalised Banks (SBI and Vijaya Bank) so that more and more beneficiaries may get <br> benefit of CLSS under PMAY (U). |  |
| viii.Out of 12,505 houses sanctioned earlier, beneficiaries attached with valid Aadhaar are <br> 5,458. The State should expedite all MIS entries and beneficiary attachment <br> immediately. |  |
| ix.Utilization Certificates for Rs. 60.54 crore is pending under PMAY (U). State should <br> expedite submission of pending UCs immediately. |  |

## D. CSMC Decision:

- In view of the above, CSMC approved Central Assistance and recommended for celease of first instalment of the Central Assistance for the State of Nagaland as under:

| (Rs. in lakb) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | No. Projects | fino. <br> EWS <br> houses | of Central Assistance | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I" instalment ( } 40 \% \text { ) } \\ & \text { of Central Assistance } \end{aligned}$ | Condition(s), if any, for release of $1^{\text {tr }}$ instalment of Central Assistance |
| BLC (New) | 09 | 8,734 | 13101.00 | 5,240.40 | Aadhaar sceded beneficiary entrics in MIS of PMAY (U). |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BLC } \\ & \text { (Enhancement) } \end{aligned}$ | 09 | 2036 | 3,054.00 | 1,221.60 |  |

Project-wise details are given at Annexure III A, III B.
Consideration for Central Assistance for 130 BLC (New) and 16 AHP projects submitted by the State of Tamil Nadu (Agenda 5)

## A. Basic Information:

The proposal under consideration of CSMC was for Central Assistance for 130 BLC (New), and 16 AHP projects submitted by the State of Tamil Nadu. The salient details of the propósal are as under: Minutes of the $33^{\text {re }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018

| Component | No. of Projects (cities) | Total No. of houses proposed | No. <br> EWS <br> houses <br> proposed |  | Central Assistance | State <br> share | ULB share | Beneficiary contribution | Date of <br> Appraisal by <br> SLAC $/$ <br> approval of <br> SLSMC  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLC (New) | 130(125) | 23878 | 23878 |  | 35817 | 14326.80 | Nal | 22250.30 | $\begin{aligned} & 24.04 .2018 / \\ & 26.04 .2018 \end{aligned}$ |
| AHP | 16(7) | 8090 | 8090 |  | 12135 | 48540.00 | Nal | 31602.01 |  |
| Total |  | 31968 | 31968 |  | 47952 | 62866.8 |  | 5385231 |  |

## B. Additional information given by the State:

i. The demand survey is complete in all the 666 HFA towns. Total demand as per demand survey is $13,91,609$ houses (ISSR :47,309, CLSS:484,105, AHP:341,832 and BLC:518,363)
ii. HFAPoAs for the 666 towns have been approved by SLSMC; 530 HFAPoAs have been submitted to the Ministry.
iii. Against total demand of $13,91,609$ houses, 424,886 houses have been approved.
iv. Total target for 2018-19 is $2,65,604$ houses, out of which 31,968 houses have been proposed for consideration in the current meeting, balance $2,33,636$ houses are to be proposed soon.
v. State budgetary provision for PMAY (2018-19) is Rs 2530.84 crore.
vi. $100 \%$ survey entry has been made, $81.82 \%$ has been approved in PMAY MIS. $55.85 \%$ of houses are geo-tagged.
vii. CLSS: Total demand is $4,84,105$. Number of loans sanctioned under CLSS is 7838.
viii. It was requested that a letter to Central Ministries may be sent with a request to make available vacant land under their control lying unutilized in the State for housing projects under PMAY (U).

## C. CSMC observations:

i. The State should expedite work on the projects for remaining 2,33,636 houses targeted for the year
ii. JNNURM: The state should take necessary action so that vacant houses are occupied without any further delay.
iii. Out of total 4,24,886 houses (AHP+BLC), 2,68,364 are grounded and 34,686 houses are complete. The State is required to take necessary measures to ground the remaining houses. Any further delay may hamper completion of the projects and lead to cost escalation.
iv. Out of $4,24,886$ houses sanctioned earlier, beneficiaries attached with valid Aadhaar are $3,28,841$. The State should expedite all MIS entries and beneficiary attachment immediately.
v. Utilization Certificates for Rs. 475.46 cr . is pending under PMAY (U). The State should expedite submission of pending UCs immediately.

## D. CSMC Decision:

After deliberations, the CSMC approved Central Assistance and recommended for release of first instalment of the Central Assistance for the projects submitted by the State of Tamil Nadu as under:

| Component | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Projects } \end{aligned}$ | No. EWS houses |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { st instalment }(40 \%) \\ & \text { of Central Assistance } \\ & \text { (Rs. in lakh) } \end{aligned}$ | Condition(s), if any, for release of $1^{s t}$ instalment of Central Assistance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BLC (New) | 130 | 23,878 | $35,817$ | 14,326.80 | (i) on receipt of compliance at 5C (iv) and (ii) Aadhaar seeded beneficiary entries in MIS of PMAY (U). |
| AHP | 16 | 8,090 | 12,135 | 4,854.00 | - |

Project-wise details are given at Annexure IV A, IV B.
Proposal for relaxation in CSMC condition for release of Central Assistance for 13 6 BLC projects submitted by the State Government of Tamil Nadu and approved in $10^{\text {th }}$ CSMC meeting (Agenda 6)

## A. Basic Information:

The Committee was informed that 13 BLC projects were approved in $10^{\text {th }}$ CSMC meeting held on 22.7.2016. The CSMC approval was as under:
i. Central Assistance amounting to Rs. 89.475 cr @Rs. 1.50 lakh per EWV house for 13 BLC projects ( 5,965 EWS houses) submitted by the State Government of Tamil Nadu under PMAY (U).
ii. Recommended for release of $1^{n}$ instalment of $40 \%$ of Central Assistance amounting to Rs. 35.79 crore for these 13 BLC projects subject to certification from the State Government that construction work of all the houses approved earlier under BLC vertical of PMAY (U) has been started on ground.'

In compliance to the above observations, the Government of Tamil Nadu vide their letter dated 22.9.2017 informed that a few beneficiaries of the earlier approved BLC projects are not coming forward to start the construction work due to various reasons and as such the State Govt. will revise the DPRs in the respective projects. The State Government further stated that they are facing financial crisis for construction of 5965 EWS houses in the 13 BLC projects as first instalment of Central Assistance is held up and requested for early release of funds for these projects.

In view of the explanation given by the State Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Rs. 2.0957 crore against the $1^{\text {" }}$ instalment of Rs. 35.79 crore for the 13 BLC projects was released with due approval of IFD and Competent authority.

Since the observations of CSMC of grounding of all houses prior to $10^{\text {th }}$ CSMC meeting under BLC vertical was not fully met at the time of release of Rs. 2.0957 crore for these 13 BLC Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018
projects, relaxation of CSMC conditions was sought so that balance Central Assistance for these 13 BLC projects can be released on the basis of beneficiaries details entered in the PMAY (U)MIS with valid Aadhaar. CSMC was also requested to ratify already released Central Assistance of Rs. 2.0957 crore to the State Govt. of Tamil Nadu for these projects.

## B. CSMC Decision

The CSMC considered the proposal and granted relaxation of the condition mentioned at 6 A (ii) above for release of balance Central Assistance for these 13 BLC projects and ratified the already released amount of Rs. $2.0957^{\circ}$ crore of central assistance to the State Government of Tamil Nadu.
$\square$
7
Consideration for Central Assistance for 16 AHP, 91 BLC (New) projects submitted by State of Chhattisgarh (Agenda 7)

## A. Basic Information:

The proposal for consideration of CSMC was for Central Assistance for 16 AHP, 91 BLC (New) projects under PMAY (U) submitted by State of Chhattisgarh. The salient details of the proposal are as under:

| Component | No. of <br> Projects <br> (cities) | Total No. <br> of houses <br> proposed | No. of <br> EWS <br> houses <br> proposed | Central <br> Assistance <br> (Rs. in <br> lakh) | State <br> share <br> (Rs. in <br> lakh) | ULB <br> share <br> contribution | Appraisal by <br> (Rs. in lakh) | DLAC/ <br> approval of <br> SLSMC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHP | $16(7)$ | 13342 | 13342 | 20013.00 | 30852.89 | Nil | 12118.21 | 20.03 .2018 |
| BLC (N) | $91(72)$ | 14369 | 14369 | 21553.50 | 11614.28 | Nil | 11116.85 | $16.04 .2018 /$ |
| Total |  |  |  | 27711 | 41566.5 | 42467.17 |  | 23235.06 |
| 26.04 .2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

B. Additional information given by the State:

| 1. | The Demand survey is complete in all the 168 ULBs |
| :---: | :---: |
| ii. | HFAPoAs for 36 ULBs have been completed which will be submitted soon. Remainin HFAPoAs for 132 ULBs will be submitted by December, 2018 |
| iii. | Final target for State as per AIP, vertical wise are: (ISSR- PPP $: 41,929$, AHP:100,914, BLC : 160,244 , CISS : 63,072 ) |
| iv. | Progress: <br> ISSR- 10 projects for 6671 houses: grounded so far is nil. ( 725 houses are proposed for curtailment due to no response from bidders). <br> AHP- Of the 43,543 houses approved in 58 projects, 25,696 are under tendering, 16,789 grounded, and 1058 completed ( 7,512 houses have been proposed for curtailment). <br> BLC- Of the 66,804 houses approved in 391 projects, 506 are complete, 10,876 grounded and 55,422 houses are yet to start. |
|  | 24,961 BLC houses have been sanctioned for beneficiaries residing in abadi land, wherein beneficiaries are eligible under PMAY(U) but ownership document are not available. Based on State-wide survey carried out by District Collectors, about 1 lakh houscholds hàve been identified fór distribution of Abadi Pattá (permanent lease deéd) in urban |
|  | of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018 |


|  | areas, which include the beneficiaries of approved houses. The process of distribution of <br> Patta to the beneficiaries is in progress and will be completed by May 2018. |
| :--- | :--- |
| vi. | CLSS: Number of cases is 4774, loan sanctioned 2301, loan disbursed 1427 |
| vii. | SLTC and CLTCs are fully functional. |
| viii. | Out of 22 awarded AHP projects, 12 projects have been awarded for construction using <br> monolithic concrete construction through Technology neutral tenders. The rates quoted <br> are very competitive and are lower than conventional technology. In one case, it is as <br> low as 14\% belowe estimated cost as per SoR. The monolithic concrete constructions are <br> used in Raipur, Bhilai, Bilaspur, Durg and Dhamtari projects. |
| ix. | JNNURM: Out of 28,492 houses approved, 26,330 are complete, 21,204 are occupied <br> and 5126 are vacant which will be allotted soon. |

## C. CSMC observations:

| i. | All the curtailment proposals may be submitted in the next CSMC meeting. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ii. | CSMC was apprised that funds were released for the beneficiaries of projects proposed on Abadi/Expired Patta land which were approved in $29^{* *} \& 31^{\prime \prime}$ CSMC based on MIS entries and assurance by the State that distribution of Pattas to these beneficiaries is in progress and will be completed shortly. The State should distribute the Patta on priority to beneficiaries for which projects under PMAY (U) are approved. |
| iii. | The State has proposed 30 projects on Abadi land under BLC (N) vertical. State is to ensure that funds will be released to these beneficiaries once the valid patta is issued. Further, State Government will ensure clear land title to all eligible beneficiaries before seeking $2^{\text {sd }}$ instalment of Central Assistance in the BLC (N) projects considered in this meeting. |
|  | While proposing 16 AHP projects for consideration of the CSMC, the State has requested to de-sanction 2 ISSR projects comprising 232 and 493 houses at Bilaspur, which were approved in $17^{4}$ meeting of CSMC held on 20.12.2016 as the State did not get bidder/developer for these ISSR projects and in place of these 2 ISSR projects, State has now proposed 2 AHP projects at Bilaspur comprising of 261 and 725 houses respectively. $1^{\text {n}}$-instalment of Rs. 290.00 lakh has already been released to the State for these two ISSR projects. Therefore, the earlier released $1^{\prime \prime}$ instalment of Central Assistance for 2 ISSR projects may be adjusted while releasing the $1^{\text {I }}$ instalment of the proposed two AHP projects at Bilaspur. |
|  | The proposal for de-sanction of two ISSR projects comprising 232 houses in Miti Tila slum and 493 houses in Mini Mata slum at Bilaspur, which were approved in $17^{\text {h }}$ CSMC, and a new proposal for 2 AHP projects in place of these 2 ISSR projects comprising of 261 houses in Miti Tila slum and 725 houses in Mini Mata slum of Bilaspur, which have the approval of Chief Secretary of the State needs ratification by SLSMC. |
| vi. | Out of $1,17,018$ houses sanctioned earlier, beneficiaries attached with valid Aadhaar are 65,238 . The State should expedite all MIS entries and bencficiary attachment within a month with details of beneficiaries' name address, telephone number and email (wherever available). |
| vii. | Utilization Certificates of Rs. 129.21 crore are pending under PMAY (U). State should expedite submission of the pending UCs. |
|  | UCs for Rs 46.87 crore is pending under JNNURM projects. The State should submit the UCs without any further delay. |
|  | $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018 |

## D. CSMC Decision:

In view of the above, CSMC approved Central Assistance and recommended for release of first instalment of the Central Assistance for the State of Chhatisgarh as under:
(Rs. in lakb)

| Component | No. of Projects | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { EWS } \\ & \text { houses } \end{aligned}$ | of Central Assistance | ${ }^{10}$ instalment <br> $\%$ ) of C <br> Assistance | Condition(s), if any, for release alof $1^{t t}$ instalment of Central Assistance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14 | 12356 | 18534.00 | 7413.60 |  |
| AHP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O2 (in place of } 2 \\ & \text { IHSSR projects at } \\ & \text { Bilaspur } \end{aligned}$ | 986 | 1479.00 | $591.60^{\circ}$ | On receipt of compliance as per para $7 C$ (iv) $7 C$ (v) and $7 C$ (viii). |
| BLC ( New ) | 91 (61 valid patta and 30 on abadi land) | 14,369 | 21553.50 | 8621.40 | Aadhaar seeded beneficiary entrics in MIS of PMAY (U) and compliance to 7 C (viii). |

Project-wise details are given at Annexure V A, V B.
$8 \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Consideration for Central Assistance for } 03 \mathrm{AHP}, 41 \text { BLC (New) and } 135 \text { BLC } \\ & \text { (Enhancement) projects submitted by State of Uttar Pradesh (Agenda 8) }\end{aligned}$

## A. Basic Information:

The proposal under consideration of CSMC was for Central Assistance for $03 \mathrm{AHP}, 41$ BLC (New) and 135 BLC (Enhancement) projects submitted by State of Uttar Pradesh. The salient details of the proposal are as under:

| Component | No. of <br> Projects <br> (cities) | Total No. houses proposed | No. of EWS houses proposed | Central <br> Assistance <br> Rs. $\quad$ in <br> (lakh) | State and ULB share (Rs. (lakh) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Beneficiary } \\ & \text { contribution } \\ & \text { (Rs. in lakh) } \end{aligned}$ | Date <br> Appraisal <br> SLAC <br> approval <br> SLSMC | of by of of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHP | 03(3) | 996 | 996 | 1494 | 2799.65 | 1992 | 10.4.2018,24.4 .2018,$25.4 .2018 /$26.4 .2018 |  |
| BLC(New) | 41(41) | 7240 | 7240 | 10860 | 7240 | 7180.24 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { BLC } \\ \text { (Enhancement) } \end{array}$ | ${ }^{135(135)}$ | 21632 | 21632 | 32448 | 17289.76 | 78.82 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 29868 | 44802 | 27329.41 | 9251.06 |  |  |

## B. Additional information given by the State:

| i. | The validation of Demand Survey is complete in 482 cities (out of 653 HFA cities) and <br> the total demand so far is 14 ,houses |
| :--- | :--- |
| ii. | HFAPoA of 69 cities are complete. The State Government has fixed a target of 5.20 lakh <br> houses in the FY 2018-19 |
| iii. | Budgetary provision of Rs. 3000 crore has been made by the State Government for <br> PMAY (U) in FY 2018-19. |
| iv.: | Rs. 13,698 lakh, has been transferred to 26,164 beneficiaries through DBT mode. |


| v. | Progress: <br> BLC (N): Out of 2,84,284 houses sanctioned, work has commenced in 1,16,415 houses. <br> Construction work for AHP ( 26,474 houses) and BLC ( E ) ( 48090 houses) projects is <br> yet to take off. |
| :---: | :--- |
| vi. | CLSS: 6419 eligible beneficiaries have availed of interest subsidy under CISS in the State. |
| vii. | State is considering adopting prefab construction technologies developed by Ex-IITian <br> for BLC projects. A prototype is being erected. |
| viii. | Proposal for another 50,000 houses under AHP component will be submitted next <br> mohth. |

## C. CSMC observations:

i. State Government may prepare database of all beneficiaries with their phone number, email address along with Aadhaar number for ease of timely coordination.
ii. For undertaking ISSR and AHP projects, the State should also organise regional workshops with ULBs, Development Authority, private developers etc. at State level in Lucknow and at Regional level in Varanasi, Agra, Mecrut. The state level workshop should be organized in May, 2018.
iii. HUDCO representatives informed that due to late receipt of DPRs from State Govt., the site scrutiny is still in process. On submission of the report, the Ministry will examine the same for necessary action/corrective measures, if any. From the preliminary site scrutiny, some of the houses under BLC (E) has core room of mud masonry. The State Government should ensure that no such houses are included in BLC(E).
iv. The State should expedite the progress of construction for approved projects
v. Prefabricated construction being considered for BLC projects should be got evaluated by BMTPC, before actual implementation.
vi. Out of $3,58,848$ houses sanctioned earlier, beneficiaries attached with valid Aadhaar are 2,61,422. The State should expedite all MIS entries and beneficiary attachment immediately.
vii. Utilization Certificates for Rs. 45.83 cr . is pending under PMAY (U). The State should expedite submission of pending UCs immediately.

## D. CSMC Decision:

In view of the above, the CSMC approved central assistance and recommended for release of first instalment of the central assistance for the State of Uttar Pradesh as under:
(Rs. in lakh)

| Component | No. <br> Projects | of No. of EWS houses | Central Assistance | $1^{r t}$ instalment ( $40 \%$ ) central assistance | of Condition(s), if any, for relcase of 1 "instalment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHP | 03 | 996 | 1494 | 597.60 | - |
| BLC(New) | 41 | 7240 | 10860 | 4344.00 | (1) On receipt of compliance at 8 C(iii) and |
| BLC <br> Enhancement | 135 | 21632 | 32448 | 12979.20 | (ii) Aadhas seeded beneficiary entries in MIS of PMAY (U). |

Project-wise details are given at Annexure VI A, VI B and VI C.

Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018

## A. Basic Information:

The committee was informed that proposals for Demonstration Housing Projects from States/UTs of Uttrakhand, Tamil Nadu, Assam, Jharkhand, Punjab, Manipur, Goa, Jammu \& Kashmir, Kerala and Puducherry had been received. BMTPC has been undertaking Demonstration Housing projects in various parts of the country under Technology Sub-Mission of Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana (Urban) [PMAY-(U)]. The DHPs at Nellore, Andhra Pradesh and Bhubhaneshwar, Odisha have been completed by BMTPC and the DHPs at Bihar Sharif (Bihar), Gachibowli, Hyderabad (Telangana), Lucknow (U.P.) are under construction. The BMIPC, who is the Nodal Agency for execution of such projects, has been in touch with the respective State Governments for allotment of suitable land. Meanwhile, the DHP guidelines have been revised and circulated to all the States/UTs. It was desired that all the DHP proposals should now be reworked upon by the States/UTs as per the new amended guidelines. Regarding the previously approved DHPs, a separate proposal will be put up before the CSMC for considered view in public interest and physical prudence. As such, the proposal in the agenda for in-principle approval was not agreed to.

## B. CSMC Decision:

The Committee observed that the Guidelines for Demonstration Housing Projects have now been revised and there are many changes wherein State/UTs Governments' acceptance is required. It was directed that the State/UT Governments' acceptance for participation in DHP projects as per revised guidelines, 2018 of DHP projects may be taken immediately before seeking approval of CSMC.

|  | (A) Consideration for Central Assistance for 09 BLC (New) and 2 AHP projects |
| :--- | :--- |
| submitted by State of Maharashtra; and |  |
| 10 | (B) Consideration for Central Assistance for (i) 6 AHP projects (PPP Model on |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| PPP Model on Government land) for 16,048 EWS houses with 80 Houses of |  |
| MIG and 88 Houses of HIG at Nandgaon Peth, outside Amravati Corporation |  |
| area. |  |

## A. Basic Information:

The proposal under consideration of CSMC was for Central Assistance for 09 BLC (New) and 02 AHP projects submitted by State of Maharashtra. The salient details of the proposal are as under:
(Rs. in lakh)

| Component | No. of Projects (cities) | Total No. of houses proposed | No. <br> EWS <br> houses <br> proposed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { of Central } \\ & \text { Assistance } \end{aligned}$ | State and ULB share | Bencficiary contribution | Date <br> Appraisal <br> SLAC <br> approval <br> SLSMC | of by of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHP | 02(02) | 840 | 840 | 1260.00 | 840.00 | 4479.50 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.4 .2018 / \\ & 13.4 .2018 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| BLC(New) | $09(08)$ | 3178 | 3178 | 4767.00 | 3178.00 | 11533.37 |  |  |
| AHP. (based on PPP model on Private and Govt land) | 07 | $31,211$ | 31,123 | $46,684.50$ | Under preparation | Under preparation |  | - |

B. Additional information given by the State:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Out of total demand of $24,71,957$ houses, accepted demand is 7,51,732 as on date. |
| iii. The State has come up with PPP policy. The major focus of the policy is to provide affordable housing. Under this: <br> - Private developer or consortium can bid for development. <br> - Land selection criteria are predefined. <br> - $50 \%$ of the houses in a project will have the pricing as per policy of MHADA; for the remaining $50 \%$ developer can have differential pricing <br> - Permissible FSI is 2.5 , which is to be exclusively used for EWS and LIG housing stock. FSI 1.0 is for no development zone. <br> - NDZ/Green zone lands will also be permitted for development with FSI 1.0 <br> - Reduced Stamp duty of Rs 1000 only for EWS as well as LIG dwelling units (earlier it was only for EWS houses) is charged. |  |
|  | HFAPoA is in progress. |
|  | The State has also issued a GR for PPP policy on the basis of GOI models with primary focus on AHP on Private.Land. 6 projects are being taken up with private builders on private land and one project in govt land. |
|  | 7 AHP projects for construction of 31,123 houses on PPP models are under preparation 15,075 houses at various places in the Konkan and Pune region to be developed on private land by developer and 16,048 EWS houses at Nandgaon Peth outside Amravati Corporation area on Government land, are being proposed. The project details are being worked out. The DPRs will be submitted soon. The State representative has requested for considering these projects for approval. |
|  | Progress: <br> AHP: Out of $1,36,974$ houses sanctioned, tenders have been issued for 55,739 houses, 44,243 are under construction at different stage and 512 are complete. <br> BLC (N): Out of 16,552 houses sanctioned, 45 are complete and 406 are at different stages of construction <br> CLSS: 40,599 beneficiaries have availed interest subsidy. |
|  | Govt of Maharashtra has appointed KPMG, India for consultancy service to all Mission cities of the State. They have started study in 15 ULBs..AFC India is engaged in the BEED districts for BLC projects. |
|  | State budgetary provision of Rs. 781.74 Crore has been made for the year 2018-19 for |

PMAY (U). Additional requirement will be supplemented through Maharashtra Shelter Fund.

## C. CSMC observations:

| i. | Maharashtra being a big State with large number of towns has a lot of potential. It <br> should give priority to all verticals of the PMAY (U) to improve the situation. If <br> feasible, two SLNAs may be designated to implement the scheme with full coverage. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ii.State Nódal agency is suggested to coordinate with all Collectors/Commissloners of all <br> the 13 districts to develop projects under different verticals. Also coordination with <br> Nagar panchayat may be helpful for preparation of projects under PMAY (U). |  |
| iii.HUDCO representatives informed that due to late receipt of DPRs from State <br> Government, the site scrutiny is still in process. On submission of the report, the <br> Ministry will examine the same for necessary action/corrective measures, if any. |  |
| iv.The State needs to expedite the demand survey process and submit HFAPoAs for all <br> the approved cities under the Mission at the earliest. |  |
| v. | The grounding of houses needs to be expedited so that the construction can be <br> completed as per schedule timeline. |
| vi. | Out of 1,55,882 houses sanctioned earlier, beneficiaries attached with valid Aadhaar are <br> 21,762 only. The State should expedite all MIS entries and beneficiary attachment <br> immediately. |
| vii. | Utilization Certificates for Rs. 371.58 cr. is pending under PMAY (U). State should <br> expedite submission of pending UCs immediately. |
| viii. | JNNURM: State Government may allot unoccupied houses immediately and excess <br> ACA drawn may be refunded with interest to the Government of India. |

## D. CSMC Decision:

In view of the above, the CSMC approved central assistance and recommended for 'release of first instalment of the central assistance for the State of Maharashtra as under: -

| Component | No. Projects | of No. of EWS houses | Central Assistance (Rs. in lakh) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In instalment (40\%) } \\ & \text { of central assistance } \\ & \text { (Rs. in laldb) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Condition(s), if any, for release of 1 "instalment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AHP | 02 | 840 | 1260.00 | 504.00 | - |
| BLC(New) | 09) | 3178 | 4767.00 | 1906.80 | (9) on receipt of compliance 10 C (iii) <br> (ii) Aadhaar seeded bencficiary entries in MIS of PMAY (U). |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { AHP } \\ & \text { model) } \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 31,123 | 46,684.50 | 18,673.80 | Considered 'in-principle'. Detailed DPRs/ projects details (including project costs etc.) to be sent and subject to scrutiny reports. Release will be made after ratification by CSMC. |

Project-wise details are given at Annexure VIII A, VII B and VII C,
Minutes of the $33^{\text {rt }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018

The following important observations were made regarding project proposals and implementation of projects under PMAY (U). States should take note of the observations of CSMC and take further action accordingly:
i. All States were requested to provide details of ongoing residential housing projects by the government, private developers, builders, individual houscholds etc.
ii. First instalment of Central Assistance in case of BLC/ISSR projects shall be released when all beneficiaries in the project are Aadhaar seeded and in case of AHP projects $2^{\text {mit }}$ instalment shall be released only after Aadhaar seeding of all beneficiaries is captured in the project. Hence, claim for release of $2^{m}$ instalment of Central Assistance for a project must be submitted only after the Aadhaar seeding of all the beneficiaries in the project is completed in PMAY (U)-MIS by the State/UT Governments.
iii. The Secretary, MoHUA directed that MIS report(s) captured in PMAY (U) may be shared with States/UTs on fortnightly basis.
iv. It was directed that all States/UTs should saturate their total demand for sanction in the year 2018-19 itself, which would enable them to complete all the houses by 2021-22 along with UCs and completion certificates etc.
v. The Secretary (MoHUA) desired the States/UTs to collect data in respect of houses of EWS/LIG category which are being constructed through State sponsored schemes, Development Authorities, Housing Boards, Private Developers and Individual constructions in all statutory towns. EA/NBO will coordinate the efforts and will update regularly the progress made.
vi. The States/UT's to share good quality images of the housing for documentation of case studies. It was also advised to document success stories of the PMAY (U) projects which highlight a tangible change in the lives of the beneficiaries due to this programme.

- vii. MoHUA will document, film1 and disseminate the'good practices through its quarterly newsletters.
viii. The States were advised to incorporate latest technology in constraction of housing units and document the same.
ix. A robust MIS System is critical for PMAY (U) Mission. States need to constantly monitor the progress of survey data entries and link of beneficiaries to sanctioned projects in PMAY (U)-MIS. All States/UTs to ensure that the Aadhaar details of beneficiaries with regards to their number and name is accurate while updating in MIS. Beneficiary's details to be entered in the PMAY (U) MIS should be Aadhaar based and name of beneficiary as it is in Aadhaar should be entered.
x. Under PMFS/DBT process, the funds would have to be transferred at every stage only through electronic mode. This may relate to PMAY(U) as under
(a) In case of Centrally sponsored schemes:

For Beneficiary Led individual house Construction (BLC) and ISSR, under PMAY. (U), the bank account details of the beneficiaries should necessarily be mapped so that the funds can flow to the beneficiarics' bank account directly.
In the case of Affordable Housing in Partnership (AHP), the 2nd instalment to the State Government should be released only after receipt of beneficiafy list with.
linkage of Aadhaar numbers of the beneficiaries duly certified by the State Government／UT concerned and uploaded in the PMAY－MIS portal．
（b）In case of Central Sector Scheme：
for CISS，the Central assistance is deposited upfront in the loan account of the beneficiary，after the bank receives the funds from CNAs．
xi．State／UTs to expedite finalisation of agencies for TPQM and Social Audit as per the guidelines．
xii．State Level Appraisal Committee is expected to thoroughly appraise the DPRs before submitting the same to State Level Sanctioning \＆Monitoring Cormittee for approval．Combining SLAC and SLSMC meeting is not permissible as the mandate of the two bodies is distinct．
xiii．Projects such as VAMBAY，JNNURM，which have already been funded under housing schemes of GoI should not be considered for further funding under PMAY（U）．
xiv．Under BLC，all individual houses shall have to be Geo－tagged on the BHUVAN（MoHUA）application．Further，the States ate advised to release funds to the beneficiary only after the beneficiary completes the construction up to foundation level through their own funds．
xv．It has come to notice that some individual／organizations are collecting registration money etc．from potential beneficiaries seeking dwelling units in PMAY（U）．The Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs has not authorized any individual／organization／agency to collect application／registration fee for applying for Houses in PMAY（U）．Thus，no individual／agency／organization can collect any moncy／application fee from the beneficiary for enrolment under PMAY（U）．All State Governments may also issue disclaimer to this effect in their relevant websites／advertisements etc．to stop this fraudulent activity．
xvi．BMTPC to come out with a standard layout for BLC projects for all States／UTs．（Action：BMTPC）．
xvii．Since Rajiv Awas Yojana（RAY）is subsumed in Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana PMAY（U），Third Party Quality and Monitoring Agencies（IPQMA）appointed －for PMAY（U）may also certify quality aspects of RAY pröjects．
xviii．All States／UTs should utilize the released central funds expeditiously and submit Utilisation Certificates duly signed by competent authority in State／UT Governments as in the prescribed format given in the scheme guidelines．Parking of funds should be avoided．
xix．The MoHUA has formulated PPP Models for Affordable Housing＇to enable States／UTs to facilitate private sector participation，in order to meet the growing need of affordable housing in the country．The States／UTs are advised to make use of these guidelines suitably for PPP projects on affordable housing．

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to the Chair．
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| 24 | Shri Sunil. S. Sadhwani, MHADA, Mumbai. |
| 25 | Shri Rajendra S. Mirgane, MHADA, Mumbai. |
| 26 | Ms. Aarti, MHADA, Mumbai. |
| 27 | Ms Kevilenuo Solo, Asst. Director, Municipal Affairs Department, Nagaland. |
| 28 | Shri Vikeyie Solcho, Capacity Building (Specialist),Municipal Affairs Department, Nagaland. |
| 29 | Shri Ramchandra, MHADA, Maharashtra. |
| 30 | Shri Anuj Rastogi, NHB, New Delhi. |
| 31 | Shri Ashish Jain, NHB, New Delhi. |
| 32 | Shri S. Gupta, HUDCO, New Delhi. |
| 33 | Shri S. Muralidharan, HUDCO, New Delhi. |
| 34 | Ms. Ritu Sharma, PSP, HFA-V, M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. |


| 35 | Shri Mutum Chandimana, HFA-V, M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 36 | Shri K. Raju, Chief Engineer, TNSCB, Tamil Nadu. |
| 37 | Shri T. Sundaramurthy, Asst. Executive Engineer, TNSCB, Tamil Nadu. |
| 38 | Shri Mayur Ganorkar, ULB, Maharashtra. |
| 39 | Shri Atul Sigh Chauhan, SUDA, Uttar Pradesh. |
| 40 | Shri Santosh Agale, ULB, Maharashtra. |
| 41 | Shri Pawan Sharma, DUDA Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh. |
| 42 | Shri Manikandan. K.P., PMU- M/o HUA , Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 43 | Shri Raj Aryan, PMU- M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 44 | Shri Radheyshyam, E/Y, New Delhi. |
| 45 | Md. Afroz, PMU- M/o HUA , Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 46 | Shri Naveen Rai, PPS, PMU- M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 47 | Shri J.K. Prasad, PMU- M/o HUA , Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. |
| 48 | Dr. Kaval Kumar, PMU- M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi |
| 49 | Ms Lavanya Gotety, CB-Coordinator, PMU- M/o HUA , Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 50 | Shri Akash Mathur, M/o HUA , Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 51 | Shri Manish Kumar, PMU-M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi |
| 52 | Dr. Khatibullah Shiekh, PMU- M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. |
| 53 | Dr. Sunil Pareek, Regional Coordinator, PMU- M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 54 | Shri R.M. Ravi, Regional Coordinator, PMU- M/o HUA , Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 55 | Dr. D. Kaval Kumar, PMU- M/o HUA , Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 56 | Ms. Dipti Singh, PMU- M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 57 | Ms. Pooja Gupta, PMU- M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 58 | Ms. Neha Sharma, PMU- M/o HUA , Nirman Bhawan,New Delhi. |
| 59 | Shri A.B.Kumar, PMU- Lead Engineer, M/o HUA, Nirman Bhawan, New Delhi. |

Annexure II A: Salient Details of 3 AHP Projects submitted by Haryana

| Rs. in lakhs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Sr. } \\ \text { No. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Name of City | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { No. of EWS } \\ \text { Houses } \end{array}$ | Total Project Cost | Central Assistance | State <br> Share | $\begin{gathered} \text { Beneficiary } \\ \text { Share } \end{gathered}$ | ULB Share | 1st instalment ( $40 \%$ ) of Central Assistance |
| 1 | SONIPAT | 87 | 1123.17 | 130.50 | 87.00 | 905.67 | 0.00 | 52.20 |
| 2 | PANIPAT | 6245 | 80622.95 | 9367.50 | 6245.00 | 65010.45 | 0.00 | 3747.00 |
| 3 | FARIDABAD | 2098 | 27085.18 | 3147.00 | 2098.00 | 21840.00 | 0.00 | 1258.80 |
|  | Total | 8430 | 108831.30 | 12645.00 | 8430.00 | 87756.30 | 0.00 | 5058.00 |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018
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Annexure-III A : Salient details of 9 BLC (New) projects submitted by State Govt. of Nagaland

| S. No. | Name of the City/Town | No. of Beneficiaries | Beneficiary Details |  |  | Project Cost |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | General <br> (including <br> OBC) | SC | ST | Total project cost | Central Assistance | State <br> Share | Beneficiary Share | $1^{1 t}$ instalment of Central Assistance |
| 1 | Kiphire | 1057 | 0 | 0 | 1057 | 3183.536 | 1585.50 | 0.00 | 1598.036 | 634.20 |
| 2 | Longeleng | 405 | 1 | 0 | 404 | 1138.014 | 607.50 | 0.00 | 530.514 | 243.00 |
| 3 | Medziphema | 351 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 923.726 | 526.50 | 0.00 | 397.226 | 210.60 |
| 4 | Mon | 1432 | 0 | 1 | 1431 | 3886.232 | 2148.00 | 0.00 | 1738.232 | 859.20 |
| 5 | Peren | 321 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 868.752 | 481.50 | 0.00 | 387.252 | 192.60 |
| 6 | Phek | 863 | 0 | 0 | 863 | 2504.240 | 1294.50 | 0.00 | 1209.740 | 517.80 |
| 7 | Tuensang | 1794 | 1 | 3 | 1790 | 5211.547 | 2691.00 | 0.00 | 2520.547 | 1076.40 |
| 8 | Wokha | 1484 | 0 | 0 | 1484 | 4114.568 | 2226.00 | 0.00 | 1888.568 | 890.40 |
| 9 | Zunheboto | 1027 | 0 | 0 | 1027 | 2930.809 | 1540.50 | 0.00 | 1390.309 | 616.20 |
|  | Total | 8734 | 2 | 4 | 8728 | 24,761.424 | 13,101.00 | 0.00 | 11,660.424 | 5,240.20 |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018
Annexure-III B: Salient details of 9 BLC (Enhancement) projects proposed by State Govt. of Nagaland

| S. No. | Name of theCity/Town | No. of Beneficiaries | Beneficiary Details |  |  | Project Cost |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | General (including OBC) | SC | ST | Total project cost | Central <br> Assistance | State Share | Beneficiary Share | $1^{1 t}$ instalment of Central Assistance |
| 2 | Kiphire | 116 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 310.920 | 174.00 | 0.00 | 136.920 | 69.60 |
| 3 | Medziphema | 130 | 0 | 0 | 74 130 | 236.322 | 111.00 | 0.00 | 125.322 | 44.40 |
| 4 | Mon | 130 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 272.134 | 195.00 | 0.00 | 72.103 | 78.00 |
| 5 | Paren | 39 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 98.956 | 58.50 | 0.00 | 77.134 | 78.00 |
| 6. | Phek | 107 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 347.949 | 160.50 | 0.00 | 40.456 | 23.40 |
| 7 | Tuensang | 74 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 200.360 | 111.00 | 0.00 | 187.449 | 64.20 |
| 8 | Wokha | 1146 | 0 | 0 | 1146 | 2890.792 | 1719. | 0.00 | 89.360 | 44.40 |
| 9 | Zunheboto | 220 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 570.452 | 330.00 | 0.00 | 1171:792 | 687.60 |
| Total |  | 2036 | 0 | 0. | 2036 | 5,194.988 | 3,054.00 | 0.00 | 240.452 | 132.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,054.00 | 0.00 | 2,140.988 | 1,221.60 |

Annexure IV A: Salient Details of 130 BLC Projects submitted by Tamil Nadu

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Rs. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SL. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | District | Name of the City | Corp <br> Dn./ <br> Ward <br> No | Name of the Scheme | No of units | Project cost | Central Assistance | GoTN Share | Beneficiary Share | Central Assistance (a) $40 \%$ |
| I | BLC - TNSCB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Chennai | Chennai | $\begin{gathered} 15,16 \& 0 \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | Non slum urban poor families in Zone II Ph II | 100 | 300.00 | 150.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 | 60.00 |
| 2 | Chennai | Chennai | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 156 \text { to } \\ 167 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Non slum urban Poor families in Zone XII Ph II | 100 | 300.00 | 150.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 | 60.00 |
| 3 | Chennai | Chennai |  | Ialladianpettai \& 11 other schemes in Zone 14 \& 15 | 466 | 1398.00 | 699.00 | 279.60 | 419.40 | 279.60 |
| 4 | Chennai | Chennai |  | Urban poot families in Zone 1 | 540 | 1620.00 | 810.00 | 324.00 | 486.00 | 324.00 |
| 5 | Thiruvallur | Avadi |  | Avadi Ph IV | 200 | 600.00 | 300.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 120.00 |
| 6 | Thiruvallur | Thiruvallur |  | Thiruvallur Ph III | 150 | 450.00 | 225.00 | 90.00 | 135.00 | 90.00 |
| 7 | Thiruvallur | Thiruverkadu |  | Thiruverkadu Ph IV | 60 | 180.00 | 90.00 | 36.00 | 54.00 | 36.00 |
| 8 | Thiruvallur | Poonamallee |  | Poonamallee Ph IV | 100 | 300.00 | 150.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 | 60.00 |
| 9 | Kancheepuram | Maraimalainagar |  | Maraimalai Nagar Phase III | 100 | 300.00 | 150.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 | 60.00 |
| Minut | tes of the $33^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{CSM}$ | C/27.04.2018 |  |  | $\frac{4}{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{CSMC} / 27.04 .2018$

| 10 | Kancheepuram | Madhuranthagam | Maduranthagam Ph I | 317 | 951.00 | 475.50 | 190.20 | 285.30 | 190.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | Coimbatore | Coimbatore | Coimbatore Phase II | 900 | 2700.00 | 1350.00 | 540.00 | 810.00 | 540.00 |
| 12 | Coimbatore | Tiruppur | Tiruppur Ph III | 300 | 900.00 | 450.00 | 180.00 | 270.00 | 180.00 |
| 13 | The Niligiris | Udhagamandalam | Udhagamandalam Phase III | 200 | 600.00 | 300.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 120.00 |
| 14 | The Niligiris | Nelliyalam | Nelliyalam Ph II | 200 | 600.00 | 300.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 120.00 |
| 15 | The Niligiris | Wellington Cantonment | Wellington Cantonment Ph I | 100 | 300.00 | 150.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 | 60.00 |
| 16 | The Niligiris | Gudalur | Gudalur Phase II | 200 | 600.00 | 300.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 120.00 |
| 17 | Coimbatore | Suleeswaranpatti IP | Suleeswaranpatti Ph II | 100 | 300.00 | 150.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 | 60.00 |
| 18 | Coimbatore | Anamalai TP | Anamalai Phase III | 100 | 300.00 | 150.00 | 60.00 | - 90.00 | 60.00 |
| 19 | Tiruppur | Tiruppur | Tiruppur Ph IV | 300 | 900.00 | 450.00 | 180.00 | 270.00 | 180.00 |
| 20 | Erode | Sathyamangalam | Sathyamanagalam Ph III | 202 | 606.00 | 303.00 | 121.20 | 181.80 | 121.20 |
| 21 | Salem | Salem | Salem Phase IV | 509 | 1527.00 | 763.50 | 305.40 | 458.10 | 305.40 |
| 22 | Salem | Salem | Salem LPA | 2000 | 6000.00 | 3000.00 | 1200.00 | - 1800.00 | 1,200.00 |
| 23 | Madurai | Madurai | Madurai Corporation \& LPA | 5300 | 15900.00 | 7950.00 | 3180.00 | 4770.00 | 3,180.00 |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018

| 24 | Madurai | Thirumangalam | Thirumangalam Phase III | 100 | 300.00 | 150.00 | 60.00 | 90.00 | 60.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | Madurai | Melur | Melur Phase III | 200 | 600.00 | 300.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 120.00 |
| 26 | Theni | Theni | Theni Phase IV | 250 | 750.00 | 375.00 | 150.00 | 225.00 | 150.00 |
| 27 | Theni | Chinnamanur | Chinnamanur Phase III | 150 | 450.00 | 225.00 | 90.00 | 135.00 | 90.00 |
| 28 | Theni | Periyakulam | Periyakulam Phase III | 200 | 600.00 | 300.00 | 120.00 | 180.00 | 120.00 |
| 29 | Dindigul | Dindigul | Dindigul Phase II | 500 | 1500.00 | 750.00 | 300.00 | 450.00 | 300.00 |
| 30 | Vellore | Vellore | Vellore Corporation \& LPA | 1100 | 3300.00 | 1650.00 | 660.00 | 990.00 | 660.00 |
| 31 | Trichy | Trichy | Tiruchirappalli <br> Corporation \& LPA <br> Phase II | 1000 | 3000.00 | 1500.00 | 600.00 | ${ }^{\cdot} 900.00$ | 600.00 |
| 32 | Trichy | Thuraiyur | Thuraiyur Phase III | 132 | 396.00 | 198.00 | 79.20 | 118.80 | 79.20 |
| 33 | Ariyalur | Ariyalur | Ariyalur Phase III | 120 | 360.00 | 180.00 | 72.00 | 108.00 | 72.00 |
| 34 | Áriyalur | Jayakondam | Jayakondam Phase III | 70 | 210.00 | 105.00 | 42.00 | . 63.00 | 42.00 |
| 35 | Thanjavur | Kumbakonam | Kumbakonam Municipality \& LPA | 1000 | 3000.00 | 1500.00 | 600.00 | 900.00 | 600.00 |
| 36 | Púdukkottai | Pudukkottai | Pudukkottai Municipality \& LPA | 1000 | 3000.00 | 1500.00 | 600.00 | 900.00 | 600.00 |
| 37 | Tirunelveli | Tirunclveli | Tirunelveli Phase III | 1000 | 3000.00 | 1500.00 | 600.00 | 900.00 | 600.00 |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{CSMC} / 27.04 .2018$

| 38 | Thoothukudi | Thoothukudi | Thoothukudi | 1075 | 3225.00 | 1612.50 | 645.00 | 967.50 | $645.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | Virudhunagar | Rajapalayam | Rajapalayam Phase II | 306 | 918.00 | 459.00 | 183.60 | 275.40 | 0 |
| II | -BLC-DTP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | Kancheepuram | Uthiramerus | Uthiramerur Ph III | 35 | 110.25 | 52.50 | 21.00 | 36.75 | 21.00 |
| 41 | Kancheepuram | Karunguzhi | Karunguzhi Ph III | 20 | 63.00 | 30.00 | 12.00 | 21.00 | 12.00 |
| 42 | Vellore | Nemili | Nemili Ph IV | 30 | 94.50 | 45.00 | 18.00 | 31.50 | 18.00 |
| 43 | Vellore | Odugathur | Odugathur Ph IV | 38 | 119.70 | 57.00 | 22.80 | 39.90 | 22.80 |
| 44 | Vellore | Pallikonda | Pallikonda Ph IV | 54 | 170.10 | 81.00 | 32.40 | 56.70 | 32.40 |
| 45 | Thiruvannamalai | Chengam | Chengam Ph III | 221 | 696.15 | 331.50 | 132.60 | 232.05 | 132.60 |
| 46 | Thiruvannamalai | Polur | Polur Ph IV | 110 | 346.50 | 165.00 | 66.00 | 115.50 | 66.00 |
| 47 | Thiruvannamalai | Kilpennathur | Kilpennathur Ph III | 42 | 132.30 | 63.00 | 25.20 | 44.10 | 25.20 |
| 48 | Thiruvannamalai | Kalambur | Kalambut Ph IV | 27 | 85.05 | 40.50 | 16.20 | 28.35 | 16.20 |
| 49 | Dharmapuri | Kadathur | Kadathur Ph III | 153 | 481.95 | 229.50 | 91.80 | 160.65 | 91.80 |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }} \mathrm{CSMC} / 27.04 .2018$

| 50 | Dharmapuri | Kambainallur | Kambainallur Ph III | 80 | 252.00 | 120.00 | 48.00 | 84.00 | 48.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 51 | Dharmapuri | Kariamangalam | Kariamangalam Ph III | 22 | 69.30 | 33.00 | 13.20 | 23.10 | 13.20 |
| 52 | Dharmapuri | Marandahalli | Marandahalli Ph III | 30 | 94.50 | 45.00 | 18.00 | 31.50 | 18.00 |
| 53 | Dharmapuri | Palakkodu | Palakkodu Ph III | 93 | 292.95 | 139.50 | 55.80 | 97.65 | 55.80 |
| 54 | Dharmaputi | Papparapatti | Papparapatti Ph III | 36 | 113.40 | 54.00 | 21.60 | 37.80 | 21.60 |
| 55 | Dharmapuri | Pennagaram | Pennagaram Ph III | 20 | 63.00 | 30.00 | 12.00 | 21.00 | 12.00 |
| 56 | Dharmapuri | B.Mallapuram | B.Mallapuram Ph II | 37 | 116.55 | 55.50 | 22.20 | 38.85 | 22.20 |
| 57 | Krishnagiri | Bargur | Bargur Ph III | 151 | 475.65 | 226.50 | 90.60 | 158.55 | 90.60 |
| 58 | Krishnagiri | Uthangarai | Uthangarai Ph III | 42 | 132.30 | 63.00 | 25.20 | 44.10 | 25.20 |
| 59 | Erode | Kolappalur | Kolappalur Ph II | 112 | 352.80 | 168.00 | 67.20 | 117.60 | 67.20 |
| 60 | Erode | Kasipalayam (G) | Kasipalayam (G) Ph II | 58 | 182.70 | 87.00 | 34.80 | - 60.90 | 34.80 |
| 61 | The Nilgitis | Adikaratti | Adikaratti Ph II | 15 | 75.00 | 22.50 | 9.00 | 43.50 | 9.00 |


| 62 | The Nilgiris | Bikketti | Bikketti Ph II | 40 | 200.00 | 60.00 | 24.00 | 116.00 | 24.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 63 | The Nilgiris | Huligal |  | Huligal Ph II | 26 | 130.00 | 39.00 | 15.60 | 75.40 |
| 64 | The Nilgiris | Jagathala |  | Iagathala Ph II | 26 | 130.00 | 39.00 | 15.60 | 75.40 |
| 65 | İhe Nilgiris | Kilkunda |  | Kilkunda Ph IV | 50 | 250.00 | 75.00 | 30.00 | 145.00 |
| 66 | Dindigul | Nilakkottai |  | Nilakkottai Ph II | 30 | 94.50 | 45.00 | 18.00 | 31.50 |
| 67 | Dindigul | Sevugampatti |  | Sevugampatti Ph II | 9 | 28.35 | 13.50 | 5.40 | 9.45 |
| 68 | Dindigul | Thadikombu |  | Thadikombu Ph II | 21 | 66.15 | 31.50 | 12.60 | 22.05 |
| 69 | Karur | TNPL Pugalur |  | INPL Pugalur Ph II | 19 | 59.85 | 28.50 | 11.40 | 19.95 |
| 70 | Karur | Aravakurichi |  | Aravakurichi Ph II | 16 | 50.40 | 24.00 | 9.60 | 16.60 |
| 71 | Karur | Uppidamangalam |  | Uppidamangalam Ph II | 20 | 63.00 | 30.00 | 12.00 | 21.00 |
| 72 | Sivagangai | Singampuneri |  | Singampuneri Ph II | 30 | 94.50 | 45.00 | 18.00 | 31.50 |
| 73 | Sivagangai | Kanadukathan |  | Kanadukathan Ph II | 15 | 47.25 | 22.50 | 9.00 | 18.00 |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018

| 74 | Sivagangai | Pallathur | Pallathur Ph II | 30 | 94.50 | 45.00 | 18.00 | - 31.50 | 18.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | Sivagangai | Kandanur | $\text { Kandanur } \mathrm{Ph} \text { II }$ | 30 | 94.50 | 45.00 | 18.00 | 31.50 | 18.00 |
| 76 | Sivagangai | Manamadurai | Manamadurai Ph II | 15 | 47.25 | 22.50 | 9.00 | 15.75 | 9.00 |
| 77 | Sivagangai | Illyankudi | Illyankudi Ph II | 33 | 103.95 | 49.50 | 19.80 | - 34.65 | 19.80 |
| 78 | Sivagangai | Nattarasankottai | Nattarasankottai Ph II | 13 | 40.95 | 19.50 | 7.80 | 13.65 | 7.80 |
| 79 | Theni | Melachokkanathap uram | Melachokkanathapuram Ph IV | 21 | 66.15 | 31.50 | 12.60 | 22.05 | 12.60 |
| 80 | Theni | B. <br> Meenakshipuram | B. Meenakshipuram Ph IV | 9 | 28.35 | 13.50 | 5.40 | - 9.45 | 5.40 |
| 81 | Theni | Uthamapalayam | UthamApalayam Ph IV | 15 | 47.25 | 22.50 | 9.00 | 15.75 | 9.00 |
| 82 | Theni | Kuchanur | Kuchanur Ph IV | 4 | 12.60 | 6.00 | 2.40 | 4.20 | 2.40 |
| 83 | Theni | Markayankottai | Markayankottai Ph IV | 11 | 34.65 | 16.50 | 6.60 | . 11.55 | 6.60 |
| 84 | Theni | Odaipatti | Odaipatti Ph IV | 6 | 18.90 | 9.00 | 3.60 | 6.30 | 3.60 |
| 85 | Theni | Veerapandi | Veerapandi Ph IV | 11 | 34.65 | 16.50 | 6.60 | 11.55 | 6.60 |
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| 86 | Theni | C.Pudupatti | C.Pudupatti Ph III | 10 | 31.50 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 10.50 | 6.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 87 | Theni | Pannaipuram |  | Pannaipuram Ph IV | 9 | 28.35 | 13.50 | 5.40 | 9.45 |
| 88 | Theni | Kombai | Kombai Ph III | 15 | 47.25 | 22.50 | 9.00 | 15.75 | 9.00 |
| 89 | Theni | Andipatty |  | Andipatty Ph IV | 25 | 78.75 | 37.50 | 15.00 | 26.25 |
| 90 | Theni | Karmayagoundanp |  | Kamayagoundanpatty Ph <br> IV | 11 | 34.65 | 16.50 | 6.60 | 11.55 |
| 91 | Theni | Thevaram |  | Thevaram Ph IV | 32 | 100.80 | 48.00 | 19.20 | 33.60 |
| 92 | Theni | Boothipuram |  | Boothipuram Ph III | 48 | 151.20 | 72.00 | 28.80 | 50.40 |
| 93 | Namakkal | Seerapalli |  | Seetapalli Ph V | 31 | 97.65 | 46.50 | 18.60 | 32.55 |
| 94 | Kanniyakumati | Anjugramam |  | Anjugramam Ph III | 14 | 44.10 | 21.00 | 8.40 | 14.70 |
| 95 | Kanniyakumari | Azhagappapuram |  | Azhagappapuram Ph II | 109 | 343.35 | 163.50 | 65.40 | 114.45 |
| 96 | Kanniyakumari | Mylaudy |  | Mylaudy Ph V | 23 | 72.45 | 34.50 | 13.80 | 24.15 |
| 97 | Kanniyakumari | Marungoor |  | Marungoor Ph III | 10 | 31.50 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 10.50 |


| 98 | Kanniyakumari | Thazhakudy | Thazhakudy Ph IV | 12 | 37.80 | 18.00 | 7.20 | 12.60 | 7.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | Kanniyakumari | Azhagiapandipura m | Azhagiapandipuram Ph IV | 5 | 15.75 | 7.50 | 3.00 | 5.25 | 3.00 |
| 100 | Kanniyakumari | Aralvaimozhi | Aralvaimozhi Ph TV | 14 | 44.10 | 21.00 | 8.40 | 14.70 | 8.40 |
| 101 | Kanniyakumari | Ganapathipuram | Ganapathipuram Ph IV | 41 | 129.15 | 61.50 | 24.60 | 43.05 | 24.60 |
| 102 | Kanniyakumari | Puthalam | Puthalam Ph IV | 124 | 390.60 | 186.00 | 74.40 | 130.20 | 74.40 |
| 103 | Kanniyakumari | Thengamputhoor | Thengamputhoor Ph IV | 30 | 94.50 | 45.00 | 18.00 | 31.50 | 18.00 |
| 104 | Kanniyakumari | Thingalnager | Thingalnager Ph IV | 25 | 78.75 | 37.50 | 15.00 | 26.25 | 15.00 |
| 105 | Kanniyakumari | Mandaikadu | Mandaikadu Ph V | 52 | 163.80 | 78.00 | 31.20 | 54.60 | 31.20 |
| 106 | Kanniyakumari | Villukuri | Villukuri Ph IV | 15 | 47.25 | 22.50 | 9.00 | 15.75 | 9.00 |
| 107 | Kanniyakumati | Aloor | Aloor Ph II | 20 | 63.00 | 30.00 | 12.00 | 21.00 | 12.00 |
| 108 | Kanniyakumari | Manavalakurichy | Manavalakurichy Ph IV | 4 | 12.60 | 6.00 | 2.40 | 4.20 | 2.40 |
| 109 | Kanniyakumari | Reethapuram | Reethapuram Ph IV | 16 | 50.40 | 24.00 | 9.60 | 16.80 | 9.60 |
| 110 | Kanniyakumari | Kallukuttam | Kallukuttam Ph IV | 60 | 189.00 | 90.00 | 36.00 | - 63.00 | 36.00 |
| 111 | Kanniyakumati | Neyyoor | Neyyoor Ph IV | 13 | 40.95 | 19.50 | 7.80 | 13.65 | 7.80 |
| 112 | Kanniyakumari | Eraniel | Eraniel Ph IV | 20 | 63.00 | 30.00 | 12.00 | 21.00 | 12.00 |
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| 113 | K̇anniyakumari | Kothanalloor | Kothanalloor Ph IV | 8 | 25.20 | 12.00 | 4.80 | 8.40 | 4.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114 | Kanniyakumari | Thiruvithancode | Thiruvithancode Ph IV | 14 | 44.10 | 21.00 | 8.40 | 14.70 | 8.40 |
| 115 | Kanniyakumari | Valvachagostam | Valvachagostam Ph IV | 27 | 85.05 | 40.50 | 16.20 | - 28.35 | 16.20 |
| 116 | Kanniyakumari | Vilavoor | Vilavoor Ph IV | 5 | 15.75 | 7.50 | 3.00 | 5.25 | 3.00 |
| 117 | Kanniyakumati | Thiruparappu | Thiruparappu Ph IV | 42 | 132.30 | 63.00 | 25.20 | 44.10 | 25.20 |
| 118 | Kanniyakumari | Thiruvattar | Thiruvattar Ph III | 24 | 75.60 | 36.00 | 14.40 | 25.20 | 14.40 |
| 119 | Kanniyakumari | Verkilambi | Verkilambi Ph IV | 80 | 252.00 | 120.00 | 48.00 | 84.00 | 48.00 |
| 120 | Kanniyakumari | Palugal | Palugal ${ }^{\text {P }} \mathrm{Ph}$ IV | 10 | 31.50 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 10.50 | 6.00 |
| 121 | Kanniyakumati | Kadayal | Kadayal Ph IV | 14 | 44.10 | 21.00 | 8.40 | 14.70 | 8.40 |
| 122 | Kanniyakumari | Pacode | Pacode Ph IV | 25 | 78.75 | 37.50 | 15.00 | 26.25 | 15.00 |
| 123 | Kanniyakumari | Kaliyakkavilai | Kaliyakkavilai Ph III | 10 | 31.50 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 10.50 | 6.00 |
| 124 | Kanniyakumari | Arumanai | Arumanai Ph III | 30 | 94.50 | 45.00 | 18.00 | 31.50 | 18.00 |
| 125 | Kanniyakumari | Kollemcode | Kollemcode Ph IV | 40 | 126.00 | 60.00 | 24.00 | 42.00 | 24.00 |
| 126 | Kanniyakumari | Ezhudesam | Ezhudesam Ph II | 21 | 66.15 | 31.50 | 12.60 | . 22.05 | 12.60 |
| 127 | Kanniyakumari | Palapallam | Palapallam Ph III | 3 | 9.45 | 4.50 | 1.80 | 3.15 | 1.80 |
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Annexure IV B: Salient Details of 16 AHP Projects submitted by Tamil Nadu


| 12 | Erode | Sathyamangalam | Bhavani Nagar in Ikkaraithapalli | 480 | 3926.26 | 720.00 | 2880.00 | 326.26 | 288.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | Erode | Gobichettipalayam | Arrakkankottai | 180 | 1516.00 | 270.00 | 1080.00 | - 166.00 | 108.00 |
| 14 | Salem | Salem | Selathampatty | 496 | 4218.94 | 744.00 | 2976.00 | 498.94 | 297.60 |
| 15 | Vellore | Vellore | Kannigapuram | 224 | 2089.06 | 336.00 | 1344.00 | 409.06 | 134.40 |
| 16 | Salem | Salem | Periyar Nagar | 972 | 9720.00 | 1458.00 | 5832.00 | 2430.00 | 583.20 |
| Total - AHP |  |  |  | 8090 | 92277.01 | 12,135.00 | 48,540.00 | '31,602.01 | 4,854.00 |
|  | - |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Annexure V A: Salient Details of 16 AHP Projects submitted by Chhatisgarh

| Rs. in Lakh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. No. | Name of City | No.of EWS Houses | Total Project Cost | Central Assistance | State Share | Beneficiary Share | 1st installment ( $40 \%$ ) of Central Assistance |
| 1 | Rajanandgaon | 870 | 4132.51 | 1305.00 | 2175.01 | 652.50 | 522.00 |
| 2 |  | 258 | 1225.49 | 387.00 | 644.99 | 193.50 | 154.80 |
| 3 |  | 259 | 1230.25 | 388.50 | 647.50 | 194.25 | 155.40 |
| 4 | Raigarh | 291 | 1380.42 | 436.50 | 725.67 | 218.25 | 174.60 |
| 5 | Bhilai Chatoda | 282 | 1339.36 | 423.00 | 704.86 | 211.50 | 169.20 |
| 6 | Ambikapur | 1276 | 5797.75 | 1914.00 | '2926.75 | 957.00 | 765.60 |
| 7 | Birgaon | 957 | 4420.15 | 1435.50 | 2266.90 | 717.75 | 574.20 |
| 8 | Bilaspur | 290 | 1376.03 | 435.00 | 723.53 | 217.50 | 174.00 |
| 9 |  | 261 | 1235.35 | 391.50 | 648.10 | 195,75 | 156.60 |
| 10 |  | 1160 | 5512.31 | 1740.00 | 2902.31 | 870.00 | 696.00 |
| 11 |  | 261 | 1239.68 | 391.50 | 652.43 | 195.75 | 156.60 |
| 12 | Raipur | 1044 | 4858.08 | 1566.00 | 2509.08 | 783.00 | 626.40 |
| 13 |  | 4379 | 20713.58 | 6568.50 | 10860.83 | 3284.25 | 2627.40 |
| 14 | Raipur Development Authority | 768 | 3839.71. | 1152.00 | 0.00 | 2687.71 | 460.80 |
| Total |  | 12356 | 58300.67 | 18534.00 | 28387.96 | 11378.71 | 7413.60 |
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B. 02 AHP projects approved by the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Chhattisgarh but yet to ratified by the SLSMC and considered in place of 02

| Sr. No. | Name of <br> City | No. of EWS <br> Houses | Total <br> Project <br> Cost | Central <br> Assistance | State Share | Beneficiary Share | 1st instalment (40\%) <br> of Central <br> Assistance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bilaspur | 725 | 3443.68 | 1087.50 | 1812.43 | 543.75 | 435.00 |
| 2 | 261 | 1239.75 | 391.50 | 652.50 | 195.75 | 156.60 |  |
| Total |  | 986 | 4683.43 | 1479.00 | 2464.93 | 739.5 | 591.60 |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {re }} \mathrm{CSMC} / 27.04 .2018$
A. 61 BLC (N) Projects on Valid Patta:
Annex̀ure V B: Salient Details of 91 BLC (New) Projects submitted by Chhattisgarh

| St. No. | Name of City | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No.of } \\ & \text { EWS } \\ & \text { Houses } \end{aligned}$ | Total Project Cost | Central Assistance | State <br> Share | Beneficiary | ULB <br> Share | 1st installment ( $40 \%$ ) o Central Assistance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Barsur | 31 | 96.31 | 46.50 | 25.51 | 24.30 | 0.00 | 18.60 |
| 2 | Dantewada | 20 | 62.96 | 30.00 | 16.66 | 16.30 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| 3 | Geedam | 21 | 65.24 | 31.50 | 17.28 | 16.46 | 0.00 | 12.60 |
| 4 | Narayanpur | 85 | 264.05 | 127.50 | 69.93 | 66.62 | 0.00 | 51.00 |
| 5 | Kondagaon | 78 | 236.90 | 117.00 | 62.82 | 57.08 | 0.00 | 46.80 |
| 6 | Farashgaon | 81 | 254.36 | 121.50 | 67.33 | 65.53 | 0.00 | 48.60 |
| 7 | Antagarh | 81 | 254.25 | 121.50 | 67.30 | 65.45 | 0.00 | 48.60 |
| 8 | Bastar | 86 | 269.16 | 129.00 | 71.26 | 68.90 | 0.00 | 51.60 |
| 9 | Konta | 50 | 156.69 | 75.00 | 41.48 | 40.21 | 0.00 | 30.00 |
| 10 | Narharpur | 59 | 183.28 | 88.50 | 48.54 | 46.24 | 0.00 | 35.40 |
| 11 | Jagdalpur | 136 | 408.55 | 204.00 | 108.41 | 96.14 | 0.00 | 81.60 |
| 12 | Keshkal | 29 | 92.18 | 43.50 | 24.38 | 24.30 | 0.00 | 17.40 |
| 13 | Bodati | 78 | 242.41 | 117.00 | 64.19 | 61.22 | 0.00 | 46.80 |
| 14 | Mungeli | 617 | 1898.75 | 925.50 | 503.15 | 470.10 | 0.00 | 370.20 |
| 15 | Bilaspur | 274 | 855.57 | 411.00 | 226.48 | 218.09 | 0.00 | 164.40 |
| 16 | Sirgitti | 20 | 64.22 | 30.00 | 16.97 | 17.25 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| 17 | Tifta | 48 | 152.76 | 72.00 | 40.39 | 40.37 | 0.00 | 28.80 |
| 18 | Pathariya | 179 | 556.06 | 268.50 | 147.52 | 140.04 | 0.00 | 107.40 |
| 19 | Sarangarh | 307 | 981.35 | 460.50 | 259.50 | 261.35 | 0.00 | 184.20 |
| 20 | Magarlod | 75 | 233.95 | 112.50 | 61.95 | 59.50 | 0.00 | 45.00 |
| 21 | Dhamtari | 313 | 957.69 | 469.50 | 253.86 | 234.33 | 0.00 | 187.80 |
| 22 | Gobra Nawapara | 40 | 119.09 | 60.00 | 31.62 | 27.47 | 0.00 | 24.00 |


| 23 | Fingeshwar | 363 | 1133.66 | 544.50 | 300.16 | 289.00 | 0.00 | 217.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | Bagbhara | 38 | 115.44 | 57.00 | 30.61 | 27.83 | 0.00 | 22.80 |
| 25 | Pithoura | 27 | 83.98 | 40.50 | 22.24 | 21.24 | 0.00 | 16.20 |
| 26 | Mahasamund | 275 | 842.13 | 412.50 | 223.21 | 206.42 | 0.00 | 165.00 |
| 27. | Rajim | 19 | 59.50 | 28.50 | 15.75 | 15.25 | 0.00 | 11.40 |
| 28 | Kurud | 23 | 70.85 | 34.50 | 18.77 | 17.58 | 0.00 | 13.80 |
| 29 | Bhatgaon | 62 | 192.68 | 93.00 | 51.03 | 48.65 | 0.00 | 37.20 |
| 30 | Kasdol | 30 | 94.67 | 45.00 | 25.05 | 24.62 | 0.00 | 18,00 |
| 31 | Kurna | 83 | 258.68 | 124.50 | 68.50 | 65.68 | 0.00 | 49.80 |
| 32 | Birgaon | 86 | 273.93 | 129.00 | 72.45 | 72.48 | 0.00 | 51.60 |
| 33 | Tundra | 134 | 418.52 | 201.00 | 110.81 | 106.71 | 0.00 | 80.40 |
| 34 | Raipur | 946 | 2921.91 | 1419.00 | 774.11 | 728.80 | 0.00 | 567.60 |
| 35 | Aarang | 54 | 161.40 | 81.00 | 42.84 | 37.56 | 0.00 | 32.40 |
| 36 | Ambikapur | 355 | 1098.47 | 532.50 | 290.99 | 274.98 | 0.00 | 213.00 |
| 37 | Sitapur | 252 | 784.10 | 378.00 | 207.02 | 199.08 | 0.00 | 151.20 |
| 38 | Premnagar | 33 | 103.48 | 49.50 | 27.35 | 26.63 | 0.00 | 19.80 |
| 39 | Shivpurcharcha | 287 | 893.25 | 430.50 | 236.55 | 226.20 | 0.00 | 172.20 |
| 40 | Rajpur | 244 | 759.34 | 366.00 | 201.09 | 192.25 | 0.00 | 146.40 |
| 41 | Ramanujganj | 107 | 333.47 | 160.50 | 89.82 | 83.15 | 0.00 | 64.20 |
| 42 | Kunkuri | 154 | 485.97 | 231.00 | 128.54 | 126.43 | 0.00 | 92.40 |
| 43 | Bageecha | 115 | 358.59 | 172.50 | 94.81 | 91.28 | 0.00 | 69,00 |
| 44 | Wadrafnagar | 55 | 170.85 | 82.50 | 45.25 | 43.10 | 0.00 | 33.00 |
| 45 | Balrampur | 41 | 127.36 | 61.50 | 33.73 | 32.13 | 0.00 | 24.60 |
| 46 | Pratappur | 191 | 593.34 | 286.50 | 157.14 | 149.70 | 0.00 | 114.60 |
| 47 | Lakhanpur | 123 | 380.43 | 184.50 | 100.78 | 95.15 | 0.00 | 73.80 |
| 48 | Surajpur | 251 | 779.73 | 376.50 | 206.51 | 196.72 | 0.00 | 150.60 |
| 49. | Manendrgarh | 47 | 145.54 | 70.50 | 38.55 | 36.49 | 0.00 | 28.20 |
| 50 | Pathalgaon | 51 | 160.20 | 76.50 | 42.40 | 41.30 | 0.00 | 30.60 |
| 51 | Hashpur | 45 | 141.70 | 67.50 | 37.50 | 36.70 | 0.00 | 27.00 |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {re }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018




Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018
Annexure VI A: Salient Details of 03 AHP Projects submitted by Uttar Pradesh
(Rs. in Lakhs)

| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Implementing Agency | District | Project <br> Name | Nos. of houses | Total Project Cost | Central <br> Assistance | State <br> Share | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Beneficiary } \\ \text { Share } \end{array}$ | Implementing Agency Share | 1st instalment ( $40 \%$ ) of Central Assistance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bulandshahr Development Authority | Bulandshahr | Naithla <br> Hasanpur | 432 | 2885.78 | 648.00 | 432.00 | 864.00 | 941.78 | 259.20 |
| 2 | Aligarh Development Authority | Aligarh | Baraula Jafrabad | 156. | 1441.47 | 234.00 | 156.00 | 312.00 | 739.47 | 93.60 |
| 3 | Hapur-Pilakhwa Development Authority | Hapur | Anand Vihar | 408 | 1958.40 | 612.00 | 408.00 | 816.00 | 122.40 | 244.80 |
|  | Total |  |  | 996 | 6,285.65 | 1494.00 | 996 | 1,992 | 1,803.65 | 597.60 |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018
Annexure: VI B: Salient Details of 41 BLC (New) projects submitted by Uttar Pradesh
(Rs. in Lakhs)

| S. <br> No. | ULB Name | No. of <br> Houses | Total <br> Project <br> Cost. | Central <br> Assistance | State <br> Share | Beneficiary <br> Share | 1st installment <br> (40\% of Central <br> Assistance |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Achhalda NP | 24 | 80.57 | 36.00 | 24.00 | 20.57 | 14.40 |
| 2 | Auraiya NPP | 400 | 1342.79 | 600.00 | 400.00 | 342.79 | 240.00 |
| 3 | Babarpur Ajitmal NP | 71 | 238.35 | 106.50 | 71.00 | 60.85 | 42.60 |
| 4 | Bidhuna NP | 88 | 295.41 | 132.00 | 88.00 | 75.41 | 52.80 |
| 5 | Dibiyapur NP | 25 | 83.92 | 37.50 | 25.00 | 21.42 | 15.00 |
| 6 | Phaphund NP | 52 | 174.56 | 78.00 | 52.00 | 44.56 | 31.20 |
| 7 | Atrauliya NP | 33 | 110.88 | 49.50 | 33.00 | 28.38 | 19.80 |
| 8 | Nawabganj NPP | 94 | 315.56 | 141.00 | 94.00 | 80.56 | 56.40 |
| 9 | Sidhaur NP | 73 | 245.06 | 109.50 | 73.00 | 62.56 | 43.80 |
| 10 | Zaidpur NP | 134 | 449.84 | 201.00 | 134.00 | 114.84 | 80.40 |
| 11 | BharthanaNPP | 52 | 174.56 | 78.00 | 52.00 | 44.56 | 31.20 |
| 12 | Taswantnagar NPP | 109 | 365.91 | 163.50 | 109.00 | 93.41 | 65.40 |
| 13 | Ayodhya NPP | 25 | 83.92 | 37.50 | 25.00 | 21.42 | 15.00 |
| 14 | Bhadrsa NP | 36 | 120.85 | 54.00 | 36.00 | 30.85 | 21.60 |
| 15 | Bikapur NP | 66 | 221.56 | 99.00 | 66.00 | 56.56 | 39.60 |
| 16 | Faizabad NPP | 458 | 1537.50 | 687.00 | 458.00 | 392.50 | 274.80 |
| 17 | Rudauli NPP | 274 | 919.81 | 411.00 | 274.00 | 234.81 | 164.40 |
| 18 | Khargupur NP | 73 | 277.40 | 109.50 | 73.00 | 94.90 | 43.80 |
| 19 | Nawabganj NPP | 119 | 452.20 | 178.50 | 119.00 | 154.70 | 71.40 |
| 20 | Rampura NP | 97 | 369.40 | 145.50 | 97.00 | 126.90 | 58.20 |
| 21 | Ramkola NP | 492 | 1869.60 | 738.00 | 492.00 | 639.60 | 295.20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 22 | Amethi NP | 23 | 77.21 | 34.50 | 23.00 | 19.71 | 13.80 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | Nagram NP | 31 | 104.07 | 46.50 | 31.00 | 26.57 | 18.60 |
| 24 | Maunath Bhanjan | 1673 | 5621.28 | 2509.50 | 1673.00 | 1438.78 | 1003.80 |
| 25 | Siddharth Nagar NPP | 74 | 281.20 | 111.00 | 74.00 | 96.20 | 44.40 |
| 26 | Sonbhadra NPP | 260 | 988.00 | 390.00 | 260.00 | 338.00 | 156.00 |
| 27 | Auras NP | 37 | 124.21 | 55.50 | 37.00 | 31.71 | 22.20 |
| 28 | Bhagwant Nagar NP | 55 | 184.63 | 82.50 | 55.00 | 47.13 | 33.00 |
| 29 | Ganj Muradabad NP | 65 | 218.20 | 97.50 | 65.00 | 55.70 | 39.00 |
| 30 | Hyderabad NP | 109 | 365.91 | 163.50 | 109.00 | 93.41 | 65.40 |
| 31 | Mohan NP | 127 | 426.34 | 190.50 | 127.00 | 108.84 | 76.20 |
| 32 | Nyotini NP | 190 | 637.83 | 285.00 | 190.00 | 162.83 | 114.00 |
| 33 | Rasulabad NP | 54 | 181.28 | 81.00 | 54.00 | 46.28 | 32.40 |
| 34 | Safipur NP | 286 | 960.10 | 429.00 | 286.00 | 245.10 | 171.60 |
| 35 | Ugu NP | 83 | 278.63 | 124.50 | 83.00 | 71.13 | 49.80 |
| 36 | Sikandra NP | 20 | 67.14 | 30.00 | 20.00 | 17.14 | 12.00 |
| 37 | Phulpur NP | 152 | 510.72 | 228.00 | 152.00 | 130.72 | 91.20 |
| 38 | Mauranipur NPP | 532 | 2025.99 | 798.00 | 532.00 | 695.99 | 319.20 |
| 39 | Dariyabad NP | 93 | 312.20 | 139.50 | 93.00 | 79.70 | 55.80 |
| 40 | Ramnagar NP | 50 | 167.85 | 75.00 | 50.00 | 42.85 | 30.00 |
| 41 | Bareilly NN | 531 | 2017.80 | 796.50 | 531.00 | 690.30 | 318.60 |
|  | Total | 7240 | $25,280.24$ | $10,860.00$ | $7,240.00$ | $7,180.24$ | $4,344.00$ |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {td }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018
Annexure VI C: Salient Details of 135 BLC (Enhancement) project submitted by Uttar Pradesh
Rs. in lakh

| S.No | ULB Name | No. of <br> Houses | Total Project <br> Cost | Central <br> Assistance <br> (Rs. 1.5L) | State Share <br> (Upto Rs. <br> L) | Beneficiary <br> Share | 1st instalment (40\%) <br> of Central Assistance |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Kharela NP | 101 | 240.85 | 151.50 | 89.35 | 0.00 | 60.6 |
| 2 | Kabrai NP | 263 | 627.16 | 394.50 | 232.66 | 0.00 | 157.8 |
| 3 | Charkhari NPP | 160 | 379.42 | 240.00 | 139.42 | 0.00 | 96 |
| 4 | Mahoba NPP | 603 | 1437.94 | 904.50 | 533.44 | 0.00 | 361.8 |
| 5 | Kul Pahar NP | 233 | 555.62 | 349.50 | 206.12 | 0.00 | 139.8 |
| 6 | Mahul NP | 74 | 145.74 | 111.00 | 34.74 | 0.00 | 44.4 |
| 7 | Mehnagar NP | 12 | 23.79 | 18.00 | 5.79 | 0.00 | 7.2 |
| 8 | Mubarakpur NPP | 19 | 36.03 | 28.50 | 7.53 | 0.00 | 11.4 |
| 9 | Saharwar NP | 248 | 507.74 | 372.00 | 135.74 | 0.00 | 148.8 |
| 10 | Saunron NPP | 417 | 854.30 | 625.50 | 228.80 | 0.00 | 250.2 |
| 11 | Ganjdudwara NPP | 195 | 399.49 | 292.50 | 106.99 | 0.00 | 117 |
| 12 | Nagram NP | 47 | 93.24 | 70.50 | 22.71 | 0.03 | 28.2 |
| 13 | Sirsaganj NP | 7 | 13.88 | 10.50 | 3.38 | 0.00 | 4.2 |
| 14 | Shikohabad NPP | 169 | 334.13 | 253.50 | 80.63 | 0.00 | 101.4 |
| 15 | Tundla NPP | 107 | 212.17 | 160.50 | 51.67 | 0.00 | 64.2 |
| 16 | Bikapur NP | 23 | 46.22 | 34.50 | 10.66 | 1.06 | 13.8 |
| 17 | Faizabad NPP | 402 | 819.80 | 603.00 | 214.52 | 2.28 | 241.2 |
| 18 | Rudhauli NPP | 88 | 181.94 | 132.00 | 49.10 | 0.84 | 52.8 |
| 19 | Bhadarsa NP | 23 | 43.04 | 34.50 | 8.54 | 0.00 | 13.8 |
| 20 | Ayodhya NPP | 21 | 44.97 | 31.50 | 13.20 | 0.27 | 12.6 |
| 21 | Khargupur NP | 35 | 71.70 | 52.50 | 19.20 | 0.00 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Minutes of the $33^{\text {rd }}$ CSMC/27.04.2018

| 22 | Ganj Moradabad NP | 8 | 17.02 | 12.00 | 4.92 | 0.10 | 4.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | Kurshath NP | 12 | 22.23 | 18.00 | 4.23 | 0.00 | 7.2 |
| 24 | Ugu NP | 12 | 28.65 | 18.00 | 9.92 | 0.73 | 7.2 |
| 25 | Safipur NP | 22 | 38.74 | 33.00 | 5.71 | 0.03 | 13.2 |
| 26 | Bhagwant Nagar NP | 35 | 71.06 | 52.50 | 18.36 | 0.20 | 21 |
| 27 | Hyderabad NP | 14 | 28.22 | 21.00 | 7.00 | 0.22 | 8.4 |
| 28 | Mohan NP | 17 | 35.03 | 25.50 | 9.50 | 0.03 | 10.2 |
| 29 | Nyotini NP | 15 | 31.64 | 22.50 | 8.30 | 0.84 | 9 |
| 30 | Rasulabad NP | 5 | 9.12 | 7.50 | 1.62 | 0.00 | 3 |
| 31 | Seohara NPP | 264 | 577.72 | 396.00 | 181.72 | 0.00 | 158.4 |
| 32 | Haldaur NPP | 97 | 235.56 | 145.50 | 90.06 | 0.00 | 58.2 |
| 33 | Bijnor NPP | 1331 | 3266.01 | 1996.50 | 1269.51 | 0.00 | 798.6 |
| 34 | Phaphund NP | 32 | 64.99 | 48.00 | 16.69 | 0.30 | 19.2 |
| 35 | Auraiya NPP | 12 | 27.21 | 18.00 | 8.04 | 1.17 | 7.2 |
| 36 | Bidhuna NP | 19 | 32.23 | 28.50 | 3.73 | 0.00 | 11.4 |
| 37 | Achhalda NP | 5 | 9.62 | 7.50 | 2.09 | 0.03 | 3 |
| 38 | Dibiyapur NP | 18 | 38.28 | 27.00 | 11.28 | 0.00 | 10.8 |
| 39 | Babarpur Ajitmal NP | 7 | 14.97 | 10.50 | 4.47 | 0.00 | 4.2 |
| 40 | Lakhna NP | 14 | 32.07 | 21.00 | 10.90 | 0.17 | 8.4 |
| 41 | Bharthana NPP | 50 | 113.63 | 75.00 | 37.59 | 1.04 | 30 |
| 42 | Etawah NPP | 90 | 179.10 | 135.00 | 42.20 | 1.90 | 54 |
| 43 | Jaswantnagar NPP | 88 | 169.80 | 132.00 | 36.79 | 1.01 | 52.8 |
| 44 | Beswan NP | 292 | 595.87 | 438.00 | 157.87 | 0.00 | 175.2 |
| 45 | Jalali NP | 266 | 643.47 | 399.00 | 244.47 | 0.00 | 159.6 |
| 46 | Nadhauli Kalan NP | 87 | 177.03 | 130.50 | 46.53 | 0.00 | 52.2 |
| 47 | Garhi Pulkhat NP | 142 | 306.08 | 213.00 | 93.08 | 0.00 | 85.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]| 48 | Konch NPP | 83 | 204.49 | 124.50 | 79.99 | 0.00 | 49.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | Rampura NP | 14 | 34.49 | 21.00 | 13.49 | 0.00 | 8.4 |
| 50 | Ialaun NPP | 620 | 1527.53 | 930.00 | 597.53 | 0.00 | 372 |
| 51 | Madhogarh NP | 34 | 83.29 | 51.00 | 32.29 | 0.00 | 20.4 |
| 52 | Kadaura NP | 75 | 181.78 | 112.50 | 69.28 | 0.00 | 45 |
| 53 | Kalpi NPP | 457 | 1125.94 | 685.50 | 440.44 | 0.00 | 274.2 |
| 54 | Sahpau NP | 36 | 72.96 | 54.00 | 18.96 | 0.00 | 21.6 |
| 55 | Hasayan NP | 159 | 325.40 | 238.50 | 86.90 | 0.00 | 95.4 |
| 56 | Kosi kalan NP | 236 | 485.05 | 354.00 | 131.05 | 0.00 | 141.6 |
| 57 | Baldeo NP | 7 | 14.34 | 10.50 | 3.84 | 0.00 | 4.2 |
| 58 | Banki NP | 13 | 25.93 | 19.50 | 6.24 | 0.19 | 7.8 |
| 59 | Nawab ganj NPP | 47 | 90.94 | 70.50 | 20.27 | 0.17 | 28.2 |
| 60 | Zaidpur NP | 50 | 97.44 | 75.00 | 22.44 | 0.00 | 30 |
| 61 | Siddhaur NP | 7 | 12.59 | 10.50 | 2.09 | 0.00 | 4.2 |
| 62 | Kairana NPP | 886 | 1969.35 | 1329.00 | 640.35 | 0.00 | 531.6 |
| 63 | Shamli NP | 1056 | 2276.06 | 1584.00 | 692.06 | 0.00 | 633.6 |
| 64 | Jahangirabad NPP | 56 | 133.13 | 84.00 | 49.13 | 0.00 | 33.6 |
| 65 | Anupshahr NPP | 66 | 155.62 | 99.00 | 56.62 | 0.00 | 39.6 |
| 66 | Aurangabad NP | 110 | 257.71 | 165.00 | 92.71 | 0.00 | 66 |
| 67 | Siana NPP | 77 | 172.87 | 115.50 | 57.37 | 0.00 | 46.2 |
| 68 | Shikarpur NPP | 103 | 236.03 | 154.50 | 81.53 | 0.00 | 61.8 |
| 69 | Bugrasi NP | 204 | 409.45 | 306.00 | 103.45 | 0.00 | 122.4 |
| 70 | Atasu NP | 216 | 495.83 | 324.00 | 166.34 | 5.49 | 129.6 |
| 71 | Azaratgarh NP | 31 | 60.82 | 46.50 | 14.32 | 0.00 | 18.6 |
| 72 | Nizamabad NP | 29 | 57.50 | 43.50 | 14.00 | 0.00 | 17.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 73 | Jiyanpur NP | 88 | 173.20 | 132.00 | 41.20 | 0.00 | 52.8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | Bilariganj NP | 6 | 11.90 | 9.00 | 2.90 | 0.00 | 3.6 |
| 75 | Maharajganj NP | 36 | 70.74 | 54.00 | 16.74 | 0.00 | 21.6 |
| 76 | Ballia NPP | 152 | 367.02 | 228.00 | 139.02 | 0.00 | 91.2 |
| 77 | Sonbhadra NPP | 166 | 338.07 | 249.00 | 89.07 | 0.00 | 99.6 |
| 78 | Mawana NPP | 20 | 44.95 | 30.00 | 14.95 | 0.00 | 12 |
| 79 | Kithaur NP | 13 | 29.37 | 19.50 | 9.87 | 0.00 | 7.8 |
| 80 | Merrut NN | 149 | 289.94 | 223.50 | 66.44 | 0.00 | 89.4 |
| 81 | Sardhana NPP | 513 | 1263.02 | 769.50 | 493.52 | 0.00 | 307.8 |
| 82 | Nanauta NP | 42 | 93.36 | 63.00 | 30.36 | 0.00 | 25.2 |
| 83 | Gangoh NPP | 365 | 787.28 | 547.50 | 239.78 | 0.00 | 219 |
| 84 | Saharanpur NP | 564 | 1253.63 | 846.00 | 407.63 | 0.00 | 338.4 |
| 85 | Maunath bhanjan NPP | 109 | 258.29 | 163.50 | 94.79 | 0.00 | 65.4 |
| 86 | Khekada NPP | 43 | 105.94 | 64.50 | 41.44 | 0.00 | 25.8 |
| 87 | Doghat NP | 56 | 137.97 | 84.00 | 53.97 | 0.00 | 33.6 |
| 88 | Chhaprauli NP | 55 | 135.51 | 82.50 | 53.01 | 0.00 | 33 |
| 89 | Aminanagar Sarai NP | 39 | 96.09 | 58.50 | 37.59 | 0.00 | 23.4 |
| 90 | Nadigaon NP | 439 | 1081.59 | 658.50 | 423.09 | 0.00 | 263.4 |
| 91 | Jhalu NP | 276 | 655.04 | 414.00 | 241.04 | 0.00 | 165.6 |
| 92 | Najibabad NPP | 385 | 944.93 | 577.50 | 367.43 | 0.00 | 231 |
| 93 | Thinjhak NP | 53 | 110.70 | 79.50 | 31.20 | 0.00 | 31.8 |
| 94 | Amraudha NP | 10 | 18.96 | 15.00 | 3.96 | 0.00 | 6 |
| 95 | Rath NPP | 134 | 320.99 | 201.00 | 119.99 | 0.00 | 80.4 |
| 96 | Sumerpur | 118 | 290.72 | 177.00 | 113.72 | 0.00 | 70.8 |
| 97 | Maudaha NPP | 41 | 101.01 | 61.50 | 39.51 | 0.00 | 24.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 98 | Gohand NP | 42 | 103.48 | 63.00 | 40.48 | 0.00 | 25.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | Sarila NP | 49 | 120.72 | 73.50 | 47.22 | 0.00 | 29.4 |
| 100 | Hamirpur NPP | 83 | 202.24 | 124.50 | 77.74 | 0.00 | 49.8 |
| 101 | Gursarai NPP | 324 | 798.26 | 486.00 | 312.26 | 0.00 | 194.4 |
| 102 | Jhansi NN | 1006 | 2478.54 | 1509.00 | 969.54 | 0.00 | 603.6 |
| 103 | Kathera NP | 259 | 638.11 | 388.50 | 249.61 | 0.00 | 155.4 |
| 104 | Moradabad NN | 1118 | 2743.16 | 1677.00 | 1066.16 | 0.00 | 670.8 |
| 105 | Patiyali NP | 80 | 159.54 | 120.00 | 39.54 | 0.00 | 48 |
| 106 | Mohanpur NP | 22 | 45.07 | 33.00 | 12.07 | 0.00 | 13.2 |
| 107 | Amanpur NP | 252 | 516.26 | 378.00 | 138.26 | 0.00 | 151.2 |
| 108 | Bhargain NP | 152 | 311.40 | 228.00 | 83.40 | 0.00 | 91.2 |
| 109 | Kasganj NPP | 81 | 165.94 | 121.50 | 44.44 | 0.00 | 48.6 |
| 110 | Biram NP | 95 | 194.62 | 142.50 | 52.12 | 0.00 | 57 |
| 111 | Sidhpura NP | 114 | 233.55 | 171.00 | 62.55 | 0.00 | 68.4 |
| 112 | Dariyabad NP | 8 | 16.10 | 12.00 | 4.10 | 0.00 | 4.8 |
| 113 | Dewa NP | 8 | 19.18 | 12.00 | 6.98 | 0.20 | 4.8 |
| 114 | Fatehpur NP | 21 | 48.05 | 31.50 | 16.21 | 0.34 | 12.6 |
| 115 | Haidergarh | 12 | 30.40 | 18.00 | 12.00 | 0.40 | 7.2 |
| 116 | Ramnagar NP | 4 | 10.13 | 6.00 | 4.00 | 0.13 | 2.4 |
| 117 | Satrikh NP | 13 | 32.94 | 19.50 | 13.00 | 0.44 | 7.8 |
| 118 | Subeha NP | 10 | 23.10 | 15.00 | 7.93 | 0.17 | 6 |
| 119 | Tikait Nagar NP | 25 | 63.34 | 37.50 | 25.00 | 0.84 | 15 |
| 120 | Allahabad NN | 1891 | 4633.34 | 2836.50 | 1738.64 | 58.20 | 1134.6 |
| 121 | Kakrala NPP | 65 | 160.14 | 97.50 | 62.64 | 0.00 | 39 |
| 122 | Bisauli NPP | 64 | 155.27 | 96.00 | 59.27 | 0.00 | 38.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 123 | Ujhani NPP | 66 | 160.68 | 99.00 | 61.68 | 0.00 | 39.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 124 | Bilsi NPP | 5 | 12.32 | 7.50 | 4.82 | 0.00 | 3 |
| 125 | Sahaswan NPP | 137 | 324.17 | 205.50 | 118.67 | 0.00 | 82.2 |
| 126 | Dataganj NPP | 89 | 213.54 | 133.50 | 80.04 | 0.00 | 53.4 |
| 127 | Kunwar Gaon NP | 52 | 124.37 | 78.00 | 46.37 | 0.00 | 31.2 |
| 128 | Wazirganj NP | 26 | 53.27 | 39.00 | 14.27 | 0.00 | 15.6 |
| 129 | Allapur NP | 61 | 146.08 | 91.50 | 54.58 | 0.00 | 36.6 |
| 130 | Islam Nagar NP | 18 | 44.35 | 27.00 | 17.35 | 0.00 | 10.8 |
| 131 | Usawan NP | 62 | 150.10 | 93.00 | 57.10 | 0.00 | 37.2 |
| 132 | Kachala NP | 165 | 404.59 | 247.50 | 157.09 | 0.00 | 99 |
| 133 | Salchanu NP | 28 | 68.99 | 42.00 | 26.99 | 0.00 | 16.8 |
| 134 | Guldiya NP | 5 | 12.32 | 7.50 | 4.82 | 0.00 | 3 |
| 135 | Bareilly NN | 375 | 877.63 | 562.50 | 315.13 | 0.00 | 225 |
|  | Total | 21,632 | $49,816.58$ | $32,448.00$ | $17,289.76$ | 78.82 | $12,979.20$ |
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| Annexure VII A: Salient Details of 2 AHP projects submitted by Maharashtra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. No. | Project Name | EWS Houses | Total Project Cost | EWS Project Cost | Central Assistance | State Share | Beneficiary Share | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1st Instalment } \\ & \quad(40 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | Nalegaon | 216 | 1,947.19 | 1,947.19 | 324 | 216 | 1,407.19 | 129.60 |
| 2 | Kedgaon | 624 | 4,632.31 | 4,632.31 | 936 | 624 | 3,072.31 | 374.40 |
|  | Total | 840 | 6,579.5 | 6,579.5 | 1260 | 840 | 4,479.5 | 504.00 |
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Annexure VII B: Salient Details of 9 BLC (N) projects submitted by Maharashtra

| S.No. | Project <br> Name | EWS Houses | Total Project <br> Cost | EWS Project <br> Cost | Central <br> Assistance |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Akot | 311 | $1,803.85$ | $1,803.85$ | 466.5 |
| 2 | Bhokar | 219 | $1,432.59$ | $1,432.59$ | 328.5 |
| 3 | Kinwat | 481 | $3,085.86$ | $3,085.86$ | 721.5 |
| 4 | Hadgaon | 458 | $2,933.49$ | $2,933.49$ | 687 |
| 5 | Hadgaon | 150 | 960.75 | 960.75 | 225 |
| 6 | Vaijapur | 415 | $2,592.71$ | $2,592.71$ | 622.5 |
| 7 | Pulgaon | 200 | $1,190.70$ | $1,190.70$ | 300 |
| 8 | Akola | 344 | $1,992.61$ | $1,992.61$ | 516 |
| 9. | Gangapur | 600 | $3,666.60$ | $3,666.60$ | 900 |
| . | Total | 3178 | $19,659.16$ | $19,659.16$ | 4767 |

Minutes of the $33^{\text {td }} \mathrm{CSMC} / 27.04 .2018$
Annexure VII C: Salient Details of 7 AHP projects submitted by Maharashtra (in-principal considered)

| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SL. } \\ \text { No. } \end{array}$ | Project Name | Compo nent | EWS <br> Houses | MIG <br> Houses | HIG <br> Houses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Project } \\ & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { (Rs. Lakhs) } \end{aligned}$ | EWS <br> Project Cost (Rs. Lakhs) | Central Assistance (Rs. Lakhs) | GoM Share (Rs. Lakhs) | Sales <br> Price(without Govt. Grant \& I/A Share) (Rs. Lakhs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Projects on PPP Model to be developed on Private Land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| 1 | Construction of 4200 tenements by $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$ Poddat <br> Housing Development <br> Lid at Badlapur | AHP | 4200 | - |  | Under <br> Preparation | Under <br> Preparation | 6,300.00 | 4,200.00 | Under Preparation |
| 2 | Construction of 800 tenements by $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{s}$ Patni \& Shah Developers at Dahanu | AHP | 800 |  |  | Under <br> Preparation | Under <br> Preparation | 1,200.00 | 800.00 | Under Prepatation |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Construction of } 1200 \\ & \text { tenements by M/s } \\ & \text { Pushkar, Gruhnirman LLP } \\ & \text { at Khopoli } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AHP | 1200 | - |  | Under Preparation | Under <br> Preparation | 1,800.00 | 1,200.00 | Under Preparation |
| 4 | Construction of 7000 tenements by Bhumiraj City Private Limited at Boundaries of village Kharoshi in Pen Taluka near Jite Town | AHP | 7000 | - |  | Under <br> Preparation | Under <br> Preparation | 10,500.00 | 7,000.00 | Under Preparation |
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| 5 | Construction of 975 tenements by Rajendra Sukhdev Mirgane at Sukhdev Nagar Barshi, Gat No- 1240/2/4, 1240/2/1/2 \& 1239/2/1/1 | AHP | 975 | - |  | Under <br> Preparation | Under <br> Preparation | 1,462.50 | 975.00 | Under Preparation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Construction of 900 tenements by RSM Dharashiv Shelters developer at RSM Dharashiv Shelters, Gat No 380, Osmanabad. | AHP | 900 | - |  | Under <br> Preparation | Under <br> Preparation | 1,350.00 | 900.00 | Under Preparation |
|  | - Total (A) |  | 15075 |  |  |  |  | 22612.50 | 15075.00 |  |
|  | (B) AHP Project by Amravati Board, MHADA on Govt Land |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15075.00 |  |
| 7 | Construction of 16216 tenements at Nandgaon Peth, Amravati. | AHP | 16048 | 80 | 88 | Under <br> Preparation | Under <br> Preparation | 24,072.00 | 16,048.00 | Under Prepatation |
|  | Total ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ ) |  | 31,123 | 80 | 88 |  |  | 46,684.50 | 31,123.00 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | in | - |  |
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